Michigan Department of Treasury 496 (02/06) Auditing Procedures Report

Issued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended and P.A. 71 of 1919, as amended.														
Local Unit of Government Type					Local Unit Nar	ne		County						
	Count	ty	□City	□Twp	□Village	Other								
Fisc	al Yea	r End			Opinion Date			Date Audit Report Submitted to State						
We a	We affirm that:													
We a	re ce	ertifie	d public ac	countants	licensed to pra	actice in M	ichigan.							
We further affirm the following material, "no" responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the notes, or in the Management Letter (report of comments and recommendations).														
Man	agem	nent L	_etter (repo	ort of comr	ments and reco	mmendati	ons).							
	YES	<u>Q</u>	Check ea	ch applic	able box belo	w . (See in:	structions for	further detail.)						
1.	1. \square All required component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are included in the financial statements and/or disclosed in the reporting entity notes to the financial statements as necessary.													
2.								unit's unreserved fund b budget for expenditures		tricted net assets				
3.			The local	unit is in o	compliance with	n the Unifo	rm Chart of A	Accounts issued by the	Department of	Treasury.				
4.			The local	unit has a	dopted a budg	et for all re	quired funds							
5.			A public h	earing on	the budget wa	s held in a	ccordance w	ith State statute.						
6.					ot violated the ssued by the Lo				the Emergency	y Municipal Loan Act, or				
7.			The local	unit has n	ot been deling	uent in dist	ributing tax r	evenues that were colle	ected for anoth	er taxing unit.				
8.			The local	unit only h	nolds deposits/	investment	ts that compl	y with statutory require	ments.					
9.									in the Bulletin for					
10.			There are that have	no indica not been	tions of defalca	ation, fraud imunicated	or embezzle I to the Local	ement, which came to c	our attention du ision (LAFD). It	ring the course of our audit f there is such activity that has				
11.	П	П			e of repeated c		·	•						
12.	П	П			UNQUALIFIEI		•							
13.			The local	unit has c		ASB 34 or	GASB 34 as	s modified by MCGAA	Statement #7 a	nd other generally				
14.	П	П	-			· ·	rior to payme	nt as required by chart	er or statute					
15.						· ·		ed were performed time						
				_				·						
incl	uded	in th	nis or any	other auc		do they ob				e audited entity and is not me(s), address(es), and a				
I, th	e un	dersi	gned, certif	fy that this	statement is c	omplete ar	nd accurate i	n all respects.						
We	have	e end	losed the	following	g:	Enclosed	Not Require	ed (enter a brief justification	nn)					
Fin	ancia	ıl Sta	tements											
The	The letter of Comments and Recommendations													
Other (Describe)														
Cert	fied P	ublic A	ccountant (Fi	rm Name)		ı		Telephone Number						
Street Address City State Zip								Zip						
Auth	orizinç	g CPA	Signature		ONAL	Pri	nted Name		License Nu	mber				

Financial Report
with Supplemental Information
June 30, 2006

	Contents
Report Letter	1-2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3-9
Basic Financial Statements	
Government-wide Financial Statements: Statement of Net Assets (Deficit) Statement of Activities	10 11-12
Fund Financial Statements: Governmental Funds: Balance Sheet Reconciliation of Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets (Deficit) Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Reconciliation of Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	13 14 15
Proprietary Funds: Statement of Net Assets Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets Statement of Cash Flows	17 18 19
Fiduciary Funds: Statement of Net Assets Statement of Changes in Net Assets	20 21
Component Units: Statement of Net Assets (Deficit) Statement of Activities	22 23-24
Notes to Financial Statements	25-56
Required Supplemental Information	57
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund	58
Pension Systems Schedule of Funding Progress	59
Schedule of Employer Contributions	60-61

Contents (Continued)

Other Supplemental Information	62
Nonmajor Governmental Funds:	
Combining Balance Sheet	63-64
Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund	
Balances	65-66
Fiduciary Funds:	
Combining Statement of Net Assets	67
Combining Statement of Changes in Net Assets	68
Component Unit - Housing Commission:	
Combining Statement of Net Assets	69
Combining Statement of Changes in Net Assets	70





27400 Northwestern Highway P.O. Box 307 Southfield, MI 48037-0307 Tel: 248.352.2500 Fax: 248.352.0018 plantemoran.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To the City Council City of Taylor, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Taylor, Michigan as of and for the year ended June 30, 2006, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of Taylor, Michigan's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of the Taylor Housing Commission (a discretely presented component unit), which reflect total assets of \$39,687,075 at March 31, 2006 and a net increase in net assets of \$363,901 for the year then ended. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the Housing Commission, is based solely on the report of the other auditors. The other auditors' report, dated September 26, 2006, which includes the information for the Taylor Community Development Corporation (audited by other auditors as of June 30, 2006 with a report date of November 15, 2006), expressed an unqualified opinion on the financial statements.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Taylor, Michigan as of June 30, 2006 and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows, where applicable, thereof for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.



To the City Council City of Taylor, Michigan

The management's discussion and analysis, budgetary comparison schedule - General Fund, and the pension systems schedule of funding progress, as identified in the table of contents, are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplemental information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management, regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplemental information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Taylor, Michigan's basic financial statements. The accompanying other supplemental information, as identified in the table of contents, is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The other supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 12, 2006 on our consideration of the City of Taylor, Michigan's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide opinions on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Flante & Moran, PLLC

December 12, 2006

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our discussion and analysis of the City of Taylor, Michigan's financial performance provides an overview of the City's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. Please read it in conjunction with the City's financial statements.

- State-shared revenue, our second largest revenue source, was reduced by approximately \$90,000 this year, as a result of mid-year budget cuts by the State of Michigan. While the constitutional portion of revenue sharing grew, the statutory portion decreased for the fifth consecutive year. The statutory portion, at \$3.5 million, represents a significant amount of at-risk revenue.
- Revenues from the 23rd District Court increased \$395,000 over the prior year amount, to a new annual total of nearly \$4.8 million. While the increased revenue is important, as a practical matter the City cannot continue to rely on this type of revenue source to finance day-to-day operations.
- The City's General Fund, the main operating fund of the City, operated with a structural deficit, spending substantially more than what it brought in from traditional revenue sources. With limited opportunities for revenue growth and rising expenditures, the General Fund relied on \$1.8 million of fund balance to balance the budget for the year.
- The City's golf courses and Sportsplex operated at deficits, substantially as a result of depreciation of assets donated by the TIFA component unit. The golf courses have recently cut costs substantially in order to operate more profitably before the effects of depreciation, and will receive additional assistance from the TIFA in conjunction with a deficit elimination plan. The Sportsplex continued to operate with losses, and is receiving additional funding support from the TIFA. In November 2006, the firm managing the complex on behalf of the City was terminated, and the City entered into an arrangement to lease the facility to an unrelated entity.
- Total net assets related to the City's governmental activities at the end of the year were \$154 million, a \$7 million increase over the prior year's \$147 million. Business-type net assets grew slightly to nearly \$114 million.
- The City completed construction of the Midtown Fire Station and new 23rd District Court, financed primarily by Taylor Building Authority bonds. Renovation of the former courthouse has been indefinitely postponed due to a lack of funding.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the City of Taylor, Michigan's basic financial statements. The City's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: (I) government-wide financial statements, (2) fund financial statements, and (3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplemental information in addition to the basic financial statements.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

Government-wide Financial Statements - The statement of net assets (deficit) and the statement of activities are both new and provide information about the activities of the City on a government-wide basis. They are designed to present a longer-term view of the City's finances. This longer-term view uses the accrual basis of accounting, similar to a private-sector business, so that it can measure the cost of providing services during the current year, and whether the taxpayers have funded the full cost of providing government services.

Fund Financial Statements - The fund financial statements are presented after the government-wide statements. They present a short-term view, and tell us how the taxpayers' resources were spent during the year, as well as how much is available for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the City's operations in more detail than the government-wide financial statements by providing information about the City's most significant funds. The fiduciary fund statements provide financial information about activities for which the City acts solely as a trustee or agent for the benefit of those outside of the government.

Notes to the Financial Statements - The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

Other Information - In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain required supplemental information concerning the City's General Fund budget and the City's progress in funding its obligation to provide pension benefits to its employees. Other supplemental information is also presented in the form of combining statements for nonmajor governmental funds, fiduciary funds, and the Taylor Housing Commission component unit.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

The City of Taylor as a Whole

The following table shows, in a condensed format, the net assets as of June 30, 2006 and 2005:

		Governmen	tal	Activities	Business-type Activities				Total			
		2005		2006		2005		2006		2005		2006
Assets												
Current assets	\$	29,144,017	\$	24,623,910	\$	6,547,467	\$	7,776,510	\$	35,691,484	\$	32,400,420
Noncurrent assets	_	194,220,194		198,677,915	_	178,772,021	_	175,063,923		372,992,215	_	373,741,838
Total assets		223,364,211		223,301,825		185,319,488		182,840,433		408,683,699		406,142,258
Liabilities												
Current liabilities		26,016,836		23,674,529		8,101,812		8,049,655		34,118,648		31,724,184
Long-term liabilities	_	50,099,766		45,170,849	_	63,475,357	_	60,859,797		113,575,123	_	106,030,646
Total liabilities	_	76,116,602	_	68,845,378		71,577,169		68,909,452		147,693,771		137,754,830
Net Assets												
Invested in capital												
assets - Net of												
related debt		141,156,813		151,693,997		100,843,545		100,914,668		242,000,358		252,608,665
Restricted		7,223,452		5,650,252		13,356,709		12,229,133		20,580,161		17,879,385
Unrestricted (deficit)		(1,132,656)	_	(2,887,802)	_	(457,935)	_	787,180	_	(1,590,591)	_	(2,100,622)
Total net assets	\$	147,247,609	\$	154,456,447	\$	113,742,319	\$	113,930,981	\$	260,989,928	\$	268,387,428

The City's combined net assets totaled \$268,387,428, an increase of 2.8 percent from a year ago. As we look at the governmental activities separately from the business-type activities, we can see that the current level of unrestricted net assets for our governmental activities is a deficit of nearly \$2.9 million, reflective of the structural operating deficit. The increase in net assets is primarily a result of an increase in capital grants and contributions. The business-type activities unrestricted net assets increased by approximately \$1.2 million. Increases in charges for services and investment earnings were offset by a reduction in taxes related to the EPA levy.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

The following table shows the changes of the net assets during the current year:

	Governmer	Governmental Activities Business-type Activities To					
	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	
Revenue							
Program revenue:							
Charges for services	\$ 12,277,850	\$ 12,595,473	\$ 17,905,555	\$ 18,536,554	\$ 30,183,405	\$ 31,132,027	
Operating grants and							
contributions	8,258,407	8,919,543	-	-	8,258,407	8,919,543	
Capital grants and							
contributions	4,835,752	12,283,095	498,800	851,227	5,334,552	13,134,322	
General revenue:							
Property taxes	27,241,795	27,774,269	5,790,813	2,808,253	33,032,608	30,582,522	
State-shared revenue	8,133,587	8,043,087	-	-	8,133,587	8,043,087	
Unrestricted investment							
earnings	411,485	485,575	232,266	1,232,105	643,751	1,717,680	
Rental income and fees	469,802	514,914	9,393	14,308	479,195	529,222	
Gain on disposal of assets	3,398,335	84,821	17,294	15,141	3,415,629	99,962	
Miscellaneous revenue	807,562	1,517,407	58,321	37,817	865,883	1,555,224	
Total revenue	65,834,575	72,218,184	24,512,442	23,495,405	90,347,017	95,713,589	
Program Expenses							
General government	13,079,831	11,713,075	-	-	13,079,831	11,713,075	
Public safety	26,370,298	27,655,566	-	-	26,370,298	27,655,566	
Public works	16,015,516	16,722,970	-	-	16,015,516	16,722,970	
Community and economic							
development	1,614,873	1,857,624	-	-	1,614,873	1,857,624	
Recreation and culture	4,975,837	4,917,533	-	-	4,975,837	4,917,533	
Interest on long-term debt	2,820,411	2,142,578	-	-	2,820,411	2,142,578	
Water	-	-	5,905,739	5,779,251	5,905,739	5,779,251	
Sewer	-	-	8,302,580	8,503,359	8,302,580	8,503,359	
Taylor Sportsplex	-	-	2,572,977	2,697,151	2,572,977	2,697,151	
Golf courses	-	-	6,140,245	5,927,940	6,140,245	5,927,940	
Ecorse Creek			337,133	399,042	337,133	399,042	
Total program expenses	64,876,766	65,009,346	23,258,674	23,306,743	88,135,440	88,316,089	
Change in Net Assets	\$ 957,809	\$ 7,208,838	\$ 1,253,768	\$ 188,662	\$ 2,211,577	\$ 7,397,500	

Governmental Activities

The City's total governmental revenues were over \$72 million. The City experienced decreases in state-shared revenue and land sales, but experienced increased property taxes, operating and capital grants, court revenue, and miscellaneous revenue. The increase in property tax revenue resulted from continued growth in the City's tax base despite a temporary reduction in the Act 345 millage in support of the police and fire retirement system.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

The City's total governmental expenses were steady at approximately \$65 million. There continue to be increases in public safety, public works, and health care costs. General government costs overall were reduced, however, aided by reductions in staff and a contribution to the General Employees' Retirement System that was nearly \$400,000 less than the previous year's contribution. The City closely monitored its spending in all areas, including hiring and capital outlay.

Business-type Activities

The City's business-type activities consist of the Water, Sewer, Ecorse Creek, Golf Courses, and Taylor Sportsplex Enterprise Funds. We provide water to residents from the Detroit Water System. We provide sewage treatment through a Wayne County-owned and operated sewage treatment plant. The City operates two outstanding golf courses. The Lakes of Taylor Golf Club is a championship caliber golf course designed to challenge golfers at every level. Taylor Meadows Golf Club is a links-style course, challenging yet still player-friendly. Both courses also provide food service and pro-shop operations as well. The Taylor Sportsplex is an indoor multi-function facility that features two ice arenas, a soccer arena, and a combination soccer/convention arena, as well as meeting areas and food service. For all business-type activities in 2006, operating revenues decreased over \$1 million, while net assets increased only \$188,662. The Sewer Fund accounts for the activity related to the City's participation in the Downriver Sewer System, including the tax levy mandated to pay for the City's share of debt issued by Wayne County to pay for the recent improvements to the system. The EPA levy was reduced by nearly \$3 million as a result of credits available at Wayne County.

The City of Taylor's Funds

Our analysis of the City's major funds begins on page 13, following the government-wide financial statements. The fund financial statements provide detail information about the most significant funds, not the City of Taylor as a whole. The City creates funds to help manage money for specific purposes as well as to show accountability for certain activities, such as bond projects. The City's only major fund for 2006 is the General Fund.

The General Fund pays for most of the City's governmental services. The most significant are police and fire, which incurred expenses of approximately \$19,067,000, and public works, which incurred expenses of approximately \$13,847,000 in 2006. Employee benefit expenses accounted for approximately \$11,847,000.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

Over the course of the year, the City amended the budget to take into account events during the year. Overall, the General Fund's revenue budget was decreased during the year by a net amount of approximately \$300,000. Overall actual revenues were less than the budget by \$1,237,000, or 2.2 percent. The property tax budget is initially projected based on conservative estimates of the taxable values, which are not yet finalized at the time of budget adoption. The largest unfavorable variances were in state and federal sources (\$243,000) and other revenue, including charges to other funds (\$741,000); favorable variances resulted in property taxes of \$153,000, and fees and permits of \$37,000.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

The City's expenditure budget was increased approximately \$2.4 million by amendments during the year, partly as a result of the carryover of \$1,731,000 in unexpended items from the previous year. The City's departments overall stayed below budget, resulting in total expenditures of \$2.56 million (4.25 percent) below budget. The General Fund incurred unexpected capital outlay costs related to the completion of the municipal capital improvement project. The net result for the year was an increase in the General Fund's fund balance of \$1,318,000 greater than budgeted; however, most of that amount will carry over as reserved for expenditure in 2007.

Capital Asset and Debt Administration

At the end of 2006, the City, including its component units, had approximately \$404 million invested in a broad range of capital assets, including buildings, roads, water and sewer lines, parks, and machinery and equipment. The City finances much of its capital improvements through the issuance of long-term debt. The City of Taylor Brownfield Redevelopment Authority issued \$3.1 million in LTGO tax-exempt tax increment bonds to finance applicable portions of three separate Brownfield redevelopment projects.

The City's investment in capital assets, net of related debt, increased from approximately \$242 million to approximately \$253 million. In addition, the component unit's investment in capital assets, net of related debt, decreased from approximately \$18.5 million to approximately \$16.9 million. The City's total debt was approximately \$207 million, including approximately \$92 million of component unit debt. Of the component unit debt, approximately \$8 million relates to TIFA debt issued on behalf of the Lakes of Taylor Golf Club, and approximately \$13 million relates to TIFA debt issued on behalf of the Sportsplex. The TIFA has recently also been responsible for covering debt service on debt issued by the Taylor Building Authority that the Sportsplex operations have not been able to support. Debt service payments on all debt are approximately \$19.6 million annually for the City and component units in total. The overall debt, while manageable and within legal limits, does limit the flexibility of the City to respond to the future needs of the community.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets

The General Fund continues to have a structural deficit of nearly \$1 million in that the operating expenditures exceed the operating revenues. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2007, the possibility of reductions to the statutory portion of state revenue sharing continues to be a concern for municipalities throughout the state of Michigan, including the City of Taylor. The City is suffering from the effects of a slowdown in the housing market, which currently leaves many projects incomplete and as eyesores on the landscape of the community. In an effort to revive growth in its housing market and in the tax base, the City is in the process of completely revising its Master Plan and looking at new types of development. Certain studies by the Urban Land Institute and the Brookens Institute indicate that current patterns of community growth and development frequently result in "sprawl," which is harmful to communities and undermines broader environmental objectives. Accordingly, any future development in the City of Taylor will be predicated on "smart" growth. This future focus will include a balanced combination of planned growth through commercial, industrial, residential, and mixed density development projects.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

Because of the income-limiting impact of Proposal A, the City needs to continue to watch its expenditure budgets very closely. The state-wide Tax Reform Act limited growth in taxable value on any individual property to the inflation factor of 3.3 percent for the 2006 tax year, and the limit will be 3.7 percent for the 2006 tax year. However, because of the tax reduction factors, not even the full benefit of inflation is realized in property tax revenue. With municipal revenue opportunities systematically limited by state law, the General Fund budget continues to depend on uncertain revenue such as state-shared revenue, land sales, cable franchise fees, and court fines to remain balanced. Given these strains on the budget, the City understands the need to reduce the workforce and save on personal services and employee benefits, as these are the largest portions of the budget. Approximately 70 percent of the City's General Fund costs are employee-related. While recent contractual wage increases for general employees are less than inflation at 1 percent, the previous administration negotiated public safety contractual wage increases over 6 percent. Health care costs continue to rise, so the City has negotiated co-pay arrangements and changes in service providers with the City's employees to help offset cost increases. To reduce the effects of rising pension costs, defined contribution pension plans are being offered to new employees rather than defined benefit plans. Unfortunately, pensions were enhanced by the prior administration a few years ago when pension fund earnings were high. The effect is now being seen in the pensions of new retirees and in the actuarial valuation. The new administration has acted decisively to reduce costs at the golf courses to increase profitability and negotiated a new lease agreement for the Sportsplex. Both actions reduce the potential for future negative affects on the General Fund. The City will soon be reviewing its accrued liability for other postemployment benefits and the funding options. As the costs of providing services to the citizens continue to rise in excess of normal inflation despite the systematic limitations on revenue growth, there is a feeling that the municipal finance system in the state of Michigan needs some changes in addition to changes that can be made at the local level.

Contacting the City's Management

This financial report is intended to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, investors, and creditors with a general overview of the City's finances, and to show the City's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional information, contact the city clerk's office, 23555 Goddard Road, Taylor, MI 48180.

Statement of Net Assets (Deficit) June 30, 2006

			Prim	nary Government				
		Governmental	Business-type					Component
		Activities		Activities		Total		Units
Assats								
Assets Cash and investments (Note 3)	\$	7,340,749	¢	5.268.707	¢	12,609,456	¢	17,475,067
Receivables - Net:	Ф	7,340,747	Ф	3,266,707	ф	12,007,436	Ф	17,773,007
Taxes		2,615,650				2,615,650		
				-				-
Special assessments		7,433,936		2 200 422		7,433,936		-
Customers		-		3,300,422		3,300,422		-
Other		1,139,795		75,226		1,215,021		1,007,035
Internal balances		1,241,059		(1,241,059)		-		-
Due from primary government		-		-		-		309,877
Due from other governmental units		3,922,659		-		3,922,659		197,771
Due from component units		200,646		-		200,646		-
Deferred charges		-		-		-		4,769,552
Prepaid costs and other assets		729,416		-		729,416		660,701
Long-term advances to (from) other funds		1,750,000		(1,750,000)		-		-
Inventories		-		373,214		373,214		-
Restricted assets (Note 8)		976,295		11,240,491		12,216,786		3,016,419
Nondepreciable capital assets - Net (Note 5)		32,231,705		11,106,106		43,337,811		16,040,754
Depreciable capital assets - Net (Note 5)		163,719,915		154,467,326		318,187,241		26,730,357
Total assets		223,301,825		182,840,433		406,142,258		70,207,533
Liabilities								
Accounts payable		3,591,865		1,672,335		5,264,200		7,163,254
Accrued and other liabilities		6,377,489		1,130,322		7,507,811		1,364,440
Due to primary government		-		-,,		- ,,,,,,,,,		200,646
Due to other governmental units		519,890		748,835		1,268,725		739,926
Due to component units		64,064		245,813		309,877		,5,,,25
Deferred revenue (Note 4)		7,342,042		213,013		7,342,042		197,257
Noncurrent liabilities:		7,372,072		-		7,342,042		177,237
		1.057.652				1 057 453		
Bond premium		1,057,653		4 207 012		1,057,653		- 2 750 420
Debt due within one year (Note 7)		3,979,179		4,207,012		8,186,191		3,759,439
Debt due in more than one year (Note 7)		40,393,659		60,451,752		100,845,411		88,632,766
Compensated absences due within one year		1,800,000		45,338		1,845,338		-
Compensated absences due in more than one year		3,719,537		408,045		4,127,582		
Total liabilities		68,845,378		68,909,452	_	137,754,830	_	102,057,728
Net Assets (Deficit)								
Invested in capital assets - Net of related debt		151,693,997		100,914,668		252,608,665		16,932,030
Restricted:		131,073,777		100,711,000		232,000,003		10,752,050
Construction and other expenditures		2,931,840		_		2,931,840		_
Major and local streets projects								
Police forfeiture		1,194,498 326,129		-		1,194,498 326,129		-
				-				-
Debt service		1,197,785		11,240,491		12,438,276		-
Sewer		-		988,642		988,642		-
Component units		(2.027.053)		-		- (2.122.425)		3,053,557
Unrestricted		(2,887,802)	_	787,180		(2,100,622)		(51,835,782)
Total net assets (deficit)	\$	154,456,447	\$	113,930,981	\$	268,387,428	<u>\$</u>	(31,850,195)

					Prog	gram Revenues		
Functions/Programs		Expenses		Charges for Services	•		Capital Grants and	
runctions/rrograms								
Primary government:								
Governmental activities:								
General government	\$	11,713,075	\$	6,953,520	\$	2,146,926	\$	438,569
Public safety		27,655,566		3,744,994		1,040,726		-
Public works		16,722,970		751,355		4,494,483		11,677,587
Community and economic development		1,857,624		181,722		874,601		-
Recreation and culture		4,917,533		963,882		362,807		166,939
Interest on long-term debt	_	2,142,578	_					
Total governmental activities		65,009,346		12,595,473		8,919,543		12,283,095
Business-type activities:								
Water		5,779,251		6,061,859		_		93,023
Sewer		8,503,359		5,843,569		-		3,000
Taylor Sportsplex		2,697,151		1,771,872		-		543,625
Golf courses		5,927,940		4,601,213		-		211,579
Ecorse Creek		399,042		258,041				
Total business-type activities		23,306,743		18,536,554		<u> </u>		851,227
Total primary government	<u>\$</u>	88,316,089	\$	31,132,027	\$	8,919,543	\$	13,134,322
Component units:								
Local Development Financing Authority	\$	500	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Tax Increment Financing Authority		12,144,468		_		-		-
Brownfield Redevelopment Authority		10,926,752		-		-		867,347
Downtown Development Authority		1,569,930		-		-		904,589
Housing Commission		15,483,724		6,014,890		7,681,373	_	1,617,606
Total component units	<u>\$</u>	40,125,374	<u>\$</u>	6,014,890	\$	7,681,373	<u>\$</u>	3,389,542

General revenues:

Property taxes

State-shared revenues

Unrestricted investment earnings

Rental income and fees

Gain (loss) on disposal of assets

Miscellaneous

Total general revenues

Change in Net Assets

Net Assets (Deficit) - Beginning of year, as restated (Note 1)

Net Assets (Deficit) - End of year

Statement of Activities Year Ended June 30, 2006

	F	Primary Governmen	t		
G	overnmental	Business-type			
	Activities	Activities		Total	Component Units
\$	(2,174,060)	\$ -	\$	(2,174,060)	\$ -
	(22,869,846)	-		(22,869,846)	-
	200,455	-		200,455	-
	(801,301)	-		(801,301)	-
	(3,423,905)	-		(3,423,905)	-
	(2,142,578)			(2,142,578)	
	(31,211,235)	-		(31,211,235)	-
	-	375,631		375,631	-
	-	(2,656,790)		(2,656,790)	=
	-	(381,654)		(381,654)	-
	-	(1,115,148)		(1,115,148)	-
		(141,001)		(141,001)	
		(3,918,962)		(3,918,962)	
	(31,211,235)	(3,918,962)		(35,130,197)	-
	-	-		-	(500)
	-	-		-	(12,144,468)
	-	-		-	(10,059,405)
	-	-		-	(665,341)
_	-		_		(169,855)
	-	-		-	(23,039,569)
	27,774,269	2,808,253		30,582,522	10,651,379
	8,043,087	-		8,043,087	-
	485,575	1,232,105		1,717,680	990,790
	514,914	14,308		529,222	-
	84,821	15,141		99,962	(612,267)
	1,517,407	37,817	_	1,555,224	392,401
	38,420,073	4,107,624	_	42,527,697	11,422,303
	7,208,838	188,662		7,397,500	(11,617,266)
	147,247,609	113,742,319		260,989,928	(20,232,929)
\$	154,456,447	\$ 113,930,981	<u>\$</u>	268,387,428	\$ (31,850,195)

Governmental Funds Balance Sheet June 30, 2006

				Other		
	Nonmajor				Total	
		General	Go	overnmental	G	overnmental
		Fund		Funds		Funds
Assets						
Cash and investments	\$	2,955,493	\$	4,385,256	\$	7,340,749
Receivables:						
Taxes		2,615,650		-		2,615,650
Special assessments		7,433,543		393		7,433,936
Other		1,139,795		-		1,139,795
Due from other governmental units		3,263,013		860,292		4,123,305
Due from other funds		2,171,910		695,030		2,866,940
Prepaid costs and other assets		729,416		-		729,416
Long-term advance to other funds		1,750,000		-		1,750,000
Restricted assets				976,295		976,295
Total assets	<u>\$ 2</u> 2	2,058,820	\$	6,917,266	\$	28,976,086
Liabilities and Fund Balances						
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	2,797,612	\$	794,253	\$	3,591,865
Due to other governmental units		583,954		-		583,954
Due to other funds		15,244		1,610,637		1,625,881
Due to pension funds		3,048,595		-		3,048,595
Accrued and other liabilities		2,560,690		-		2,560,690
Deferred revenue		8,027,745		55,905		8,083,650
Total liabilities	ı	7,033,840		2,460,795		19,494,635
Fund Balances						
Reserved for:						
Construction and other expenditures		1,308,996		1,738,059		3,047,055
Long-term assets		1,750,000		-		1,750,000
Unreserved - Reported in:						
General Fund		1,965,984		-		1,965,984
Special Revenue Funds		-		1,520,627		1,520,627
Debt Service Funds				1,197,785		1,197,785
Total fund balances		5,024,980		4,456,471		9,481,451
Total liabilities and						
fund balances	\$ 22	2,058,820	\$	6,917,266	\$	28,976,086

Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets (Deficit) June 30, 2006

Fund Balance - Total Governmental Funds	\$ 9,481,451
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets (deficit) are different because:	
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and are not reported in the governmental funds	195,951,620
Special assessment and other receivables are expected to be collected over several years and are not available to pay for current year expenditures	741,608
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and are not reported in the governmental funds:	
Long-term debt	(44,372,838)
Compensated absences	(5,519,537)
Bond premium	(1,057,653)
Workers' compensation claims	(295,017)
Accrued interest	 (473,187)
Net Assets - Governmental Activities	\$ 154,456,447

Governmental Funds Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Year Ended June 30, 2006

			Other		
			Nonmajor	_	Total .
	General		Governmental	G	overnmental
	Fund		Funds		Funds
Revenues					
Property taxes	\$ 27,930,32	26	\$ 1,538,316	\$	29,468,642
Licenses and permits	824,80		1,496,667		2,321,468
Federal sources	407,47	79	1,525,644		1,933,123
State sources	8,794,20		3,991,380		12,785,581
Charges for services	3,253,7		-		3,253,713
Fines and forfeitures	4,806,38		-		4,806,384
Interest and rent	736,9	51	3,124,085		3,861,036
DMA /911 revenue	-		816,515		816,515
Other	8,668,36	<u> 54</u>	45,338	_	8,713,702
Total revenues	55,422,2	9	12,537,945		67,960,164
Expenditures					
General government	8,197,84		-		8,197,842
Public safety	19,067,0	7	-		19,067,017
Public works and capital projects	13,846,68	31	4,570,366		18,417,047
Community development	-		1,016,323		1,016,323
Recreation and cultural	3,221,84	19	-		3,221,849
General administration	862,96	60	-		862,960
Construction and development	-		739,139		739,139
Employee benefits	11,847,02	22	-		11,847,022
Capital outlay and other	-		4,057,934		4,057,934
Debt service	520,58	30	6,041,796		6,562,376
Total expenditures	57,563,95	<u> </u>	16,425,558		73,989,509
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenues	(2,141,73	32)	(3,887,613))	(6,029,345)
Other Financing Sources (Uses)					
Transfers in	161,10)5	2,629,686		2,790,791
Transfers out	-		(2,790,791))	(2,790,791)
Proceeds of land, building, and equipment sales	169,35	51			169,351
Total other financing					
sources (uses)	330,45	6	(161,105))	169,351
Excess of Expenditures and Other Financing					
Uses Over Revenues and Other Financing					
Sources	(1,811,2	7 6)	(4,048,718))	(5,859,994)
Non-exchange Transactions		_	489,039	_	489,039
Net Change in Fund Balances	(1,811,2	7 6)	(3,559,679))	(5,370,955)
Fund Balances - Beginning of year	6,836,25	<u> 6</u>	8,016,150		14,852,406
Fund Balances - End of year	\$ 5,024,98	0	\$ 4,456,471	\$	9,481,451

Governmental Funds Reconciliation of Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities Year Ended June 30, 2006

Net Change in Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds	\$ (5,370,955)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures; in the statement of activities, these costs are allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation	(3,043,858)
Capital contribution from TIFA and DDA is not a current financial resource	11,276,114
Proceeds from asset sales are recorded in the governmental funds; on the statement of activities, a gain is recorded	(84,530)
Special assessment revenues are recorded in the statement of activities when the assessment is set; they are not reported in the funds until collected or collectible within 60 days of year end	(85,649)
Revenue reported in the statement of activities that does not provide current financial resources and is not reported as revenue in the governmental funds (GASB No. 33)	(193,799)
Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but not in the statement of activities (where it reduces long-term debt)	4,415,882
Amortization of bond premium liabilities and deferred cost of financing reported as expense on the governmental funds when issued	42,263
Change in accrued interest on long-term debt is not recorded in the governmental funds	(39,097)
Increase in accumulated employee sick and vacation pay, as well as estimated general liability claims, is recorded when earned in the statement of activities	292,467
Change in Net Assets of Governmental Activities	\$ 7,208,838

Proprietary Funds Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2006

	Water		Sewer	Тау	vlor Sportsplex	_ G	Golf Courses		onmajor - orse Creek		Total Enterprise Funds
Current Assets											
Cash and investments Receivables:	\$ 3,820,54	9 \$	1,213,368	\$	13,617	\$	141,168	\$	80,005	\$	5,268,707
Customers	1,648,14		1,562,372				-		89,903		3,300,422
Other Due from other funds	65,95 292,20		2.403.961		7,106		2,165		- 8.489		75,226 2.704.657
Inventories	167,58		622	_	34,315	_	170,697	_	-	_	373,214
Total current assets	5,994,43	8	5,180,323		55,038		314,030		178,397		11,722,226
Noncurrent Assets											
Restricted assets			11,240,491				- -				11,240,491
Capital assets	23,035,85	<u>6</u> _	92,585,715	_	27,374,766		22,209,423		367,672	_	165,573,432
Total noncurrent assets	23,035,85	<u>6</u> _	103,826,206		27,374,766		22,209,423		367,672	_	176,813,923
Total assets	29,030,29	4	109,006,529		27,429,804		22,523,453		546,069		188,536,149
Current Liabilities											
Accounts payable	542,23	9	531,416		310,014		288,666		-		1,672,335
Due to other funds	2,865,44		497,818		21,692		405,624		155,141		3,945,716
Due to other governmental units	5,17	5	743,660		-				-		748,835
Due to component units			-		-		245,813		-		245,813
Accrued and other liabilities	543,15 80.00		521,263		81,253		438,035		-		1,583,705
Current portion of long-term debt	60,00	<u> </u>	3,673,492		310,000		128,520				4,192,012
Total current liabilities	4,036,00	9	5,967,649		722,959		1,506,658		155,141		12,388,416
Noncurrent Liabilities											
Long-term advances from other funds	-		-		-		1,750,000		-		1,750,000
Long-term debt - Net of current portion	1,320,00	0	54,606,752		4,105,000		435,000			_	60,466,752
Total liabilities	5,356,00	9	60,574,401		4,827,959		3,691,658	_	155,141		74,605,168
Net Assets											
Invested in capital assets - Net of											
related debt	21,635,85	6	34,305,471		22,959,766		21,645,903		367,672		100,914,668
Restricted for debt service	-		11,240,491		-		-		-		11,240,491
Restricted for sewer grant expenditures Unrestricted	2,038,42	9	988,642 1,897,524		(357,921)		(2,814,108)		23,256		988,642 787,180
Total net assets	\$ 23,674,28	5 \$	48,432,128	\$	22,601,845	\$	18,831,795	\$	390,928	\$	113,930,981

Proprietary Funds Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets Year Ended June 30, 2006

												Total
						Taylor		Golf	Noi	nmajor -		Enterprise
		Water		Sewer		Sportsplex		Courses	Ecor	se Creek		Funds
Operating Revenues						· ·						
Water sales	\$	4,850,985	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	4,850,985
Sewage disposal services	Ψ	-	Ψ	5,643,885	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	5,643,885
Charges for services		1,225,182		199,684		173,119		2,263,579		258,041		4,119,605
Sales of merchandise		-		-		351,174		2,177,634		-		2,528,808
Rental income		_		_		1,086,207		2,177,031		_		1,086,207
Other		18,599		19,219		161,372		160,000		_		359,190
	-				_							
Total operating revenues		6,094,766		5,862,788		1,771,872		4,601,213		258,041		18,588,680
Operating Expenses												
Cost of water operations		4,695,415		-		-		-		-		4,695,415
Cost of sewage disposal operations		-		5,480,371		-		-		-		5,480,371
Ecorse Creek user charge system		-		-		-		-		399,042		399,042
Cost of sales		-		-		179,236		904,069		-		1,083,305
Operation and maintenance		-		-		864,776		1,350,869		-		2,215,6 4 5
General and administrative		-		-		775,571		2,254,665		-		3,030,236
Other expenses		-		371		-		12,192		-		12,563
Depreciation and amortization		1,031,407		1,550,881		586,817		1,373,098				4,542,203
Total operating expenses		5,726,822		7,031,623		2,406,400		5,894,893		399,042	_	21,458,780
Operating Income (Loss)		367,944		(1,168,835)		(634,528)		(1,293,680)		(141,001)		(2,870,100)
Nonoperating Revenue (Expenses)												
Property taxes		-		2,808,253		-		-		-		2,808,253
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets		(2,501)		-		-		17,642		-		15,141
Interest income		151,256		1,076,260		-		-		4,589		1,232,105
Interest expense		(52,430)		(1,471,736)		(290,751)		(33,047)				(1,847,964)
Total nonoperating												
revenue (expenses)		96,325		2,412,777		(290,751)		(15,405)		4,589	_	2,207,535
Net Income (Loss) - Before												
donated assets		464,269		1,243,942		(925,279)		(1,309,085)		(136,412)		(662,565)
Donated Assets		93,023		3,000		543,625		211,579				851,227
Change in Net Assets		557,292		1,246,942		(381,654)		(1,097,506)		(136,412)		188,662
Net Assets - Beginning of year		23,116,993		47,185,186		22,983,499		19,929,301		527,340		113,742,319
rec Assets - Deginning of year												
Net Assets - End of year	\$	23,674,285	\$	48,432,128	\$	22,601,845	\$	18,831,795	\$	390,928	\$	113,930,981

Proprietary Funds Statement of Cash Flows Year Ended June 30, 2006

		Water		Sewer		Taylor Sportsplex		Golf Courses	N	onmajor - Ecorse Creek	Total Enterprise Funds
Cash Flows from Operating Activities											
Receipts from customers	\$	6,070,657	\$	5,894,722	\$	1,607,439	\$	4,464,260	\$	232,510	\$ 18,269,588
Payments to suppliers		(2,884,263)		(5,139,108)		(1,023,685)		(2,324,966)		(406,006)	(11,778,028)
Payments to employees		(1,942,823)		(451,916)		(775,571)		(2,413,478)		-	(5,583,788)
Internal activity - Payments to other funds		640,756		420,375		21,692		(220,947)		214,720	1,076,596
Other receipts	_	18,599		19,219	_	161,372		160,000	_		 359,190
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		1,902,926		743,292		(8,753)		(335,131)		41,224	2,343,558
Cash Flows from Noncapital Financing											
Activities - Proceeds from component units		-		-		-		300,000		-	300,000
Cash Flows from Capital and Related											
Financing Activities											
Purchase of capital assets		(520,778)		(121,969)		-		(3,476)		(5,000)	(651,223)
Proceeds from issuance of debt		150,000		-		-		-		-	150,000
Proceeds from sales of capital assets		153,001		-		-		225,975		-	378,976
Principal and interest paid on capital debt		(127,430)		(29,383)		(2,311)		(308,260)		-	(467,384)
Payments to the County		-		(2,808,253)		-		-		-	(2,808,253)
Property taxes	_			2,808,253	_				_		 2,808,253
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities		(345,207)		(151,352)		(2,311)		(85,761)		(5,000)	(589,631)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities -											
Interest received on investments		151,256		53,748				-		4,589	209,593
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents		1,708,975		645,688		(11,064)		(120,892)		40,813	2,263,520
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of year		2,111,574		567,680		24,681		262,060		39,192	3,005,187
Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of year	\$	3,820,549	\$	1,213,368	\$	13,617	\$	141,168	\$	80,005	\$ 5,268,707
Reconciliation of Operating Income (Loss) to Net Cash from Operating Activities Operating income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss)	\$	367,944	\$	(1,168,835)	\$	(634,528)	\$	(1,293,680)	\$	(141,001)	\$ (2,870,100)
to net cash from operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Changes in assets and liabilities:		1,031,407		1,550,881		586,817		1,373,098		-	4,542,203
Receivables		(83,637)		51,153		(3,061)		23,047		(25,531)	(38,029)
Due from other funds		101,428		(5,151)		-		-		63,533	159,810
Other assets		(19,789)		(5)		11,534		4,170		-	(4,090)
Accounts payable		(122,723)		(121,452)		8,793		(62,006)		(6,964)	(304,352)
Accrued and other liabilities		88,968		11,175		-		(158,813)		-	(58,670)
Due to other funds		539,328	_	425,526	_	21,692	_	(220,947)	_	151,187	 916,786
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$	1,902,926	\$	743,292	\$	(8,753)	\$	(335,131)	\$	41,224	\$ 2,343,558

Noncash Investing, Capital, and Financing Activities - During the year ended June 30, 2006, \$211,579 of assets purchased by the TIFA were contributed to the Golf Courses and \$563,520 of assets were acquired through the issuance of a lease. In addition, \$295,000 of debt principal payments and \$248,625 of interest payments were contributed to the Taylor Sportsplex by the TIFA. The Water and Sewer Funds had assets contributed by developers in the amount of \$93,023 and \$3,000, respectively. The City also had several noncash transactions with the assets held at Wayne County, including interest earnings of \$1,022,512, debt payments of \$2,638,630, and interest payments of \$1,462,353.

Fiduciary Funds Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2006

	Pension and Other Employee Benefit Plans*			gency Funds
Assets			_	
Cash and equivalents	\$	2,106,120	\$	708,915
Investments:				
Corporate bonds		10,605,196		-
U.S. government securities		31,347,112		-
Common and preferred stock		70,707,104		-
Asset-backed securities		2,517,183		-
Money market		3,468,447		675,000
Mutual funds		23,037,595		-
Due from other governmental units		-		972,915
Due from General Fund		3,072,024		_
Accrued interest		507,633		
Total assets		147,368,414	\$	2,356,830
Liabilities				
Due to other governmental units		-	\$	2,196,564
Cash bonds and deposits		-		123,731
Tax collections distributable				36,535
Total liabilities			<u>\$</u>	2,356,830
Net Assets - Held in trust for pension and other employee benefits	<u>\$</u>	147,368,414		

^{*} Balances are as of December 31, 2005 for the General Employees' Pension Plan and as of June 30, 2006 for the Police and Fire Retirement System.

Fiduciary Funds Statement of Changes in Net Assets Year Ended June 30, 2006

	Oth	ension and ner Employee enefit Plans*
Additions		
Investment income:		
Interest and dividends	\$	4,843,411
Net increase in fair value of investments		5,143,106
Less investment expenses		(408,036)
Net investment income		9,578,481
Contributions:		
Employer		4,065,983
Employee		1,276,965
Total contributions		5,342,948
Total additions - Net of investment expenses		14,921,429
Deductions		
General and administrative		81,863
Benefit payments		11,929,020
Total deductions		12,010,883
Net Increase in Net Assets		2,910,546
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension and Other Employee Benefits Beginning of year, as restated (Note 1)		144,457,868
End of year	\$	147,368,414

^{*} Balances are as of December 31, 2005 for the General Employees' Pension Plan and as of June 30, 2006 for the Police and Fire Retirement System.

Component Units Statement of Net Assets (Deficit) June 30, 2006

	Local Development Financing Authority	Tax Increment Financing Authority	Brownfield Redevelopment Authority	Downtown Development Authority	Housing Commission*	Totals
Assets						
Cash and investments	\$ 154,983	\$ 7,245,750	\$ 8,244,450	\$ 15,333	\$ 1,814,551	
Accounts receivable	-	-	925,497	-	81,538	1,007,035
Deferred charges	-	-	-	-	4,769,552	4,769,552
Due from primary government	-	245,813	-	64,064	-	309,877
Due from other governmental units	-	-	-	-	197,771	197,771
Prepaid expenses and other assets	=	-	-	-	660,701	660,701
Restricted assets	-	-	-	64,659	2,951,760	3,016,419
Capital assets	17,745	12,517,327	77,187	947,650	29,211,202	42,771,111
Total assets	172,728	20,008,890	9,247,134	1,091,706	39,687,075	70,207,533
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	-	4,593,270	1,894,322	15,16 4	660,498	7,163,254
Deferred revenue	-	-	197,257	_	-	197,257
Due to other governmental units	-	739,926	-	_	-	739,926
Due to primary government	500	199,971	175	_	-	200,646
Tenant security deposits	-	-	-	_	371,991	371,991
Accrued and other liabilities	-	416,005	254,803	39,410	282,231	992,449
Long-term debt		43,650,000	17,260,000	2,210,000	29,272,205	92,392,205
Total liabilities	500	49,599,172	19,606,557	2,264,574	30,586,925	102,057,728
Net Assets (Deficit)						
Investment in capital assets - Net of						
related debt	17,745	12,517,327	77,187	947,650	3,372,121	16,932,030
Restricted	-	-	-	-	3,053,557	3,053,557
Unrestricted	154,483	(42,107,609)	(10,436,610)	(2,120,518)	2,674,472	(51,835,782)
Total net assets (deficit)	\$ 172,228	\$ (29,590,282)	\$ (10,359,423)	\$ (1,172,868)	\$ 9,100,150	\$ (31,850,195)

^{*} Balances are as of March 31, 2006 for Housing Commission and as of June 30, 2006 for the Taylor Community Development Corp., its component unit.

				Operating				
	(Charges for		Grants/	Ca	Capital Grants/		
Expenses		Services Contributions				ontributions		
\$ 500	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
12,144,468		-		-		-		
10,926,752		-		-		867,347		
1,569,930		-		-		904,589		
15,483,724		6,014,890	_	7,681,373	_	1,617,606		
\$ 40,125,374	\$	6,014,890	\$	7,681,373	\$	3,389,542		

Program Revenues

Local Development Financing Authority - Public works Tax Increment Financing Authority - Public works Brownfield Redevelopment Authority - Public works Downtown Development Authority - Public works Housing Commission

Total component unit activities

General revenues:

Capture taxes

Interest

Gain (loss) on sale of assets

Other

Total general revenues

Change in Net Assets (Deficit)

Net Assets (Deficit) - Beginning of year, as restated (Note 1)

Net Assets (Deficit) - End of year

^{*} Balances are as of March 31, 2006 for the Housing Commission and as of June 30, 2006 for the Taylor Community Development Corp., its component unit.

Component Units Statement of Activities Year Ended June 30, 2006

	Local					
	Development		Brownfield	Downtown		
	Financing	Tax Increment	Redevelopment	Development	Housing	
	Authority	Financing Authority	Authority	Authority	Commission*	Total
		-				
\$	(500)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (500)
	-	(12,144,468)	-	-	-	(12,144,468)
	-	-	(10,059,405)	-	-	(10,059,405)
	-	-	-	(665,341)	-	(665,341)
					(169,855)	(169,855)
	(500)	(12,144,468)	(10,059,405)	(665,341)	(169,855)	(23,039,569)
	47,294	9,714,763	457,626	431,696	_	10,651,379
	2,518	429,475	398,535	18,907	141,355	990,790
	_,	(612,267)	,	-	-	(612,267)
	-	-	-	-	392,401	392,401
	49,812	9,531,971	856,161	450,603	533,756	11,422,303
	49,312	(2,612,497)	(9,203,244)	(214,738)	363,901	(11,617,266)
	122,916	(26,977,785)	(1,156,179)	(958,130)	8,736,249	(20,232,929)
_						
<u>\$</u>	172,228	<u>\$ (29,590,282)</u>	<u>\$ (10,359,423)</u>	\$ (1,172,868)	\$ 9,100,150	<u>\$ (31,850,195)</u>

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the City of Taylor, Michigan (the "City") conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applicable to governmental units. The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies used by the City of Taylor, Michigan:

Reporting Entity

The City of Taylor, Michigan is governed by an elected seven-member council. The accompanying financial statements present the City and its component units, entities for which the City is considered to be financially accountable. Although blended component units are legal separate entities, in substance, they are part of the City's operations. Each discretely presented component unit is reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements to emphasize that it is legally separate from the City (see discussion below for description).

Blended Presented Component Units - The Taylor Building Authority is governed by a board that is appointed by the mayor. Although it is legally separate from the City, it is reported as if it were part of the primary government because its primary purpose is to finance and construct the City's public buildings.

The 23rd Judicial District Court is reported within the Trust and Agency Fund. Although it is legally separate from the City, it is reported as if it were part of the primary government because of the fiduciary relationship it has with the City.

Discretely Presented Component Units - The Local Development Financing Authority and the Tax Increment Financing Authority (the "Authorities") were created to promote economic development within a seven-square mile district of the City and are funded primarily by property tax revenue captures. The Authorities are governed by II-member and I3-member boards, respectively, and are appointed by the mayor and confirmed by the City Council.

The Downtown Development Authority (DDA) was created to correct and prevent deterioration in the downtown district, encourage historical preservation, and to promote economic growth within that district. The DDA's governing body, which consists of 13 members, is appointed by the mayor and confirmed by the City Council. In addition, the DDA's budget is subject to approval by the City Council.

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority was created, pursuant to Public Act 381 of 1996, to promote revitalization of environmentally distressed areas within the 24-square mile boundary of the City. The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority is funded primarily by property tax revenue captures. The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority is governed by a 13-member board that is appointed by the mayor and confirmed by the City Council.

Separate financial statements for the above discretely presented component units are not prepared.

The Taylor Housing Commission is a nonprofit corporation that was organized under the laws of the State of Michigan to provide low-rent housing for qualified individuals in accordance with the rules and regulations prescribed by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The Taylor Housing Commission operates with a fiscal year ended March 31. The Taylor Housing Commission is governed by a five-member board that is appointed by the mayor and confirmed by the City Council.

The Taylor Community Development Corporation (TCDC) is a nonprofit organization formed by its sole member, the Taylor Housing Commission, to acquire, renovate, and operate certain apartment complexes located within the city of Taylor, Michigan. The City acquired these apartment complexes, now known as the Villages of Taylor (the "Project"), and then donated the complexes to the TCDC. The TCDC is operated by a seven-member board of directors appointed by the Taylor Housing Commission. The TCDC is a component unit of the Taylor Housing Commission.

The Project is operated and regulated by a use agreement with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) with respect to rental charges and operating methods. The Project's major program is its Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments agreements with HUD. During the year ended June 30, 2006, rental revenue from HUD totaled \$7,563,763, representing 55 percent of net rental revenue.

A complete financial statement for the Taylor Housing Commission can be obtained at 15270 Plaza South, Taylor, MI 48180.

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets (deficit) and the statement of activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities, normally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. Likewise, the primary government is reported separately from certain legally separate component units for which the primary government is financially accountable.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include: (I) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment; and (2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenue.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and major individual Enterprise Funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund, fiduciary fund, and component unit financial statements. Revenue is recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recognized as soon as it is both measurable and available. Revenue is considered to be available if it is collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the City considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. The following major revenue sources meet the availability criterion: state-shared revenue, state gas and weight tax revenue, district court fines, and interest associated with the current fiscal period. Conversely, special assessments and federal grant reimbursements will be collected after the period of availability; receivables have been recorded for these, along with a "deferred revenue" liability.

Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, expenditures relating to compensated absences, and claims and judgments are recorded only when payment is due.

The City's only major fund for June 30, 2006 is the General Fund.

General Fund - The General Fund is the City's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The City reports the following major proprietary funds:

Water Fund - The Water Fund accounts for the activities of the water distribution system.

Sewer Fund - The Sewer Fund accounts for the activities of the sewage collection system.

Taylor Sportsplex Fund - The Taylor Sportsplex Fund accounts for the activity of the Taylor Sportsplex, which primarily is the revenue related to charges for services and rentals along with the expenses of maintaining and operating the Taylor Sportsplex.

Golf Courses Fund - The Golf Courses Fund accounts for the activity related to the City's two golf courses, Taylor Meadows and Lakes of Taylor.

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Additionally, the City reports the following fund types:

Pension Trust Fund - The Pension Trust Fund accounts for the activities of the City's two defined benefit pension plans, including the Police and Fire Retirement System and the General Employees' Pension Plan. The General Employees' Pension Plan is audited as of December 31, 2005. Therefore, the General Employees' Pension Plan is included in this report as of December 31, 2005. The plans accumulate resources for pension benefit payments to qualified employees.

Agency Funds - The Agency Funds account for assets held by the City in a trustee capacity. Agency Funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve the measurement of the results of operations. The City's Agency Funds are its Tax Receiving Fund and the 23rd District Court Fund.

Private sector standards of accounting issued prior to December 1, 1989 are generally followed in both the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with the standards of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow private sector standards issued after November 30, 1989 for its business-type activities.

As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Exceptions to this general rule are charges between the City's water and sewer function and various other functions of the City. Eliminations of these charges would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported for the various functions concerned.

Amounts reported as program revenue include: (I) charges to customers or applicants for goods, services, or privileges provided; (2) operating grants and contributions; and (3) capital grants and contributions, including special assessments. Internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenue rather than as program revenue. Likewise, general revenue includes all taxes.

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenue and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenue and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenue of our proprietary funds relates to charges to customers for sales, rentals, and services. The Water and Sewer Funds also recognize the portion of tap fees intended to recover current costs (e.g., labor and materials to hook up new customers) as operating revenue. Operating expenses for proprietary funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative and operating expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenue and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenue and expenses.

Property Tax Revenue

Property taxes are levied on each July I on the taxable valuation of property as of the preceding December 31. Taxes are considered delinquent on March I of the following year, at which time penalties and interest are assessed.

The City's 2005 tax is levied and collectible on July 1, 2005, and is recognized as revenue in the year ended June 30, 2006, when the proceeds of the levy are budgeted and available for the financing of operations.

The 2005 taxable valuation of the City totaled \$1,283,525,882, on which taxes levied consisted of 8.4211 mills for operating purposes, 0.8862 mills for library services, 3.6500 mills for public safety pension, 1.3409 mills for disposal authority, 2.6591 mills for garbage and rubbish services, 0.0384 mills for publicity services, 2.700 mills for the building authority, 2.1871 for EPA debt, and .9601 mills for the Southend Project. This resulted in approximately \$10,800,000 for operating purposes, \$1,100,000 for library services, \$4,700,000 for public safety pension, \$1,700,000 for disposal authority, \$3,400,000 for garbage and rubbish services, \$49,000 for publicity services, \$3,500,000 for the building authority, \$2,800,000 for EPA debt, and \$1,500,000 for the Southend Project. These amounts are recognized in the respective General, Debt Service, and Enterprise Funds financial statements as tax revenue.

Assets, Liabilities, and Net Assets or Equity

Bank Deposits and Investments - Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with a maturity of three months or less when acquired. Investments are stated at fair value.

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Receivables and Payables - In general, outstanding balances between funds are reported as "due to/from other funds." Activity between funds that are representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year is referred to as "advances to/from other funds." Any residual balances outstanding between the governmental activities and the business-type activities are reported in the government-wide financial statements as "internal balances."

All trade and property tax receivables are shown as net of allowance for uncollectible amounts.

Inventories and Prepaid Costs - Inventories are valued at cost, on a first-in, first-out basis. Inventories of governmental funds are recorded as expenditures when consumed rather than when purchased. Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future fiscal years and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements.

Restricted Assets - Unspent bond proceeds of the Capital Projects Funds and Downtown Development Authority Fund are required to be set aside for construction. In addition, restricted assets also include assets held at Wayne County.

Restricted deposits in the Taylor Housing Commission represents assets held under various bond agreements in a separate account for replacement of property and other project expenditures as approved by the mortgagor. Restricted deposits are held in a separate account and generally are not available for operating purposes. During the year ended June 30, 2006, withdrawals of \$695,204 were taken from the replacement reserve.

Capital Assets - Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items), are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities column in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the City as assets with an initial individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

Roads and sidewalks	10-65 years
Buildings	15-50 years
Sanitary sewer system	15-50 years
Water mains and meters	15-67 years
Improvements other than buildings	20 years
Machinery and equipment	2-20 years
Vehicles	4-10 years
Furniture and fixtures	10-20 years

Compensated Absences (Vacation and Sick Leave) - It is the City's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused sick and vacation pay benefits. All vacation pay is accrued when incurred in the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in governmental funds only for employee terminations as of year end.

Long-term Obligations - In the government-wide financial statements and the proprietary fund types in the fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities, or proprietary fund-type statement of net assets. Bond premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs are reported as debt service expenditures.

Fund Equity - In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report reservations of fund balance for amounts that are not available for appropriation or are legally restricted by outside parties for use for a specific purpose.

Net Assets - The Housing Commission's beginning net assets were restated from \$2,743,831 to \$2,735,226 to correct equity transfers and other errors.

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Beginning net assets of the General Employees' Pension Plan have been restated from \$51,318,249 to \$47,006,453 to appropriately record annuity contracts.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Note 2 - Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

Budgetary Information - Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for the General Fund and all Special Revenue Funds except that operating transfers, debt proceeds, bond premiums, and sales of fixed assets have been included in the "revenue" and/or "expenditure" categories, rather than as "other financing sources (uses)". All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year end. The annual budget is prepared by the City management and adopted by the City Council; subsequent amendments are approved by the City Council.

The budget process is initiated in January, when the departments are given information and guidelines to assist them in formulating their budget requests. The department heads summarize the departmental appropriation requests and submit them to the mayor on or before March I. During the month of March, the mayor reviews the appropriation requests, meets with the departments, and puts together the budget. The budget is submitted to the City Council on or before April I. During the next month, the City Council reviews the budget and considers any changes. After a public hearing, the final budget is adopted by resolution no later than May I.

The budget document presents information by fund, function, department, and line items. The legal level of budgetary control adopted by the governing body is the activity basis level.

The amount of encumbrances outstanding at June 30, 2006 has not been calculated. Budget appropriations are considered to be spent once the goods are delivered or the services rendered.

Note 2 - Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability (Continued)

Excess of Expenditures Over Appropriations in Budgeted Funds - During the year, the City of Taylor incurred expenditures that were in excess of the amounts budgeted, as follows:

	Budget		Actual	
General Fund - General and administrative expenditures	\$	791,275	\$	862,960

Fund Deficits - There are no fund deficits on the modified accrual basis at June 30, 2006. The component units are presented on the full accrual basis in the basic financial statements, resulting in deficits on that basis in the Tax Increment Financing Authority, Brownfield Redevelopment Authority, and Downtown Development Authority.

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments

Michigan Compiled Laws Section 129.91 (Public Act 20 of 1943, as amended) authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. The local unit is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptances of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan.

The Pension Trust Fund is also authorized by Michigan Public Act 314 of 1965, as amended, to invest in certain reverse repurchase agreements, stocks, diversified investment companies, annuity investment contracts, real estate leased to public entities, mortgages, real estate (if the trust fund's assets exceed \$250 million), debt or equity of certain small businesses, certain state and local government obligations, and certain other specified investment vehicles.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

The City has designated five banks for the deposit of its funds. The investment policy adopted by the council in accordance with Public Act 196 of 1997 has authorized investment in bonds and securities of the United States government and bank accounts and CDs, but not the remainder of state statutory authority as listed above. The City's deposits and investment policies are in accordance with statutory authority.

The City's cash and investments are subject to several types of risk, which are examined in more detail below:

Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits - Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the City's deposits may not be returned to it. The City does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At year end, the City had \$11,978,539 of bank deposits (certificates of deposit, checking and savings accounts) that were uninsured and uncollateralized. In addition, the City's component units had \$11,731,634 of bank deposits that were uninsured and uncollateralized. The City believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all deposits. As a result, the City evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

Interest Rate Risk - Interest rate risk is the risk that the value of investments will decrease as a result of a rise in interest rates. The City's investment policy does not restrict investment maturities, other than commercial paper which can only be purchased with a 270-day maturity; U.S. Treasury bills and U.S. Treasury notes or bonds must mature within one year. The General Employees' Pension Plan does not restrict investment maturities, other than fixed income portfolios which can only be purchased with less than a 20-year maturity. At year end, the average maturities of investments are as follows:

			Le	ss than		I to 5	6 1	to I0	Мо	re than
Investment Type	Fa	uir Value One year		Years		Years		10 Years		
Primary Government										
Bank investment pools	\$	9,718	\$	9,718	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Component Units										
Bank investment pools	3	,090,413	3,	090,413		-		-		-
General Employees' Pension Plan										
Corporate bonds	5	,288,870		759,362	4	,007,854	5	21,654		-
U.S. government securities	11	,031,859	4,	241,132	2	,264,247	3,3	45,585	1,1	80,895
Police and Fire Retirement System										
Corporate bonds	5	,316,326		=	I	,826,858	3,4	89,468		-
Asset-backed securities		2,517,583		-	I	,457,759	3	70,942	6	88,882
U.S. government securities	2	0,313,253	4	,326,134	8	,087,189	2,0	61,761	5,8	340,169

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

Credit Risk - State law limits investments in commercial paper to the top two ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The City has no investment policy that would further limit its investment choices. The General Employee's Pension Plan's investment policy does not restrict investment ratings, other than convertible securities which should be rated B- or higher at time of purchase and fixed income securities which should be rated BBB- or higher at time of purchase. In addition, asset-backed securities, mortgage-backed securities, and CMOs should be rated AAA at the time of purchase. As of year end, the credit quality ratings of debt securities (other than the U.S. government) are as follows:

	Fair Value						
	Primary	Componer	-	Police and Fire Retirement	General Employees'		Rating
Investment Type	Government	•		System	Pension Plan	Rating	Organization
investment Type				бузсент	1 CHSIOTI TIATI		Organizacion
Corporate bonds	\$ -	\$ -	\$	447,189	\$ 141,742	Aaa	Moody's
Asset-backed securities	-	_		2,204,329	-	Aaa	Moody's
U.S. government securities	-	-		1,670,239	-	Aaa	Moody's
Corporate bonds	-	-		781,319	310,378	ΑI	Moody's
Corporate bonds	-	-		611,975	100,202	A2	Moody's
Corporate bonds	-	-		162,554	1,471,422	A3	Moody's
Corporate bonds	-	-		-	310,764	Aal	Moody's
Corporate bonds	-	-		328,105	276,524	Aa2	Moody's
Corporate bonds	-	-		1,479,036	558,174	Aa3	Moody's
Corporate bonds	-	-		-	546,918	Bal	Moody's
Corporate bonds	-	-		579,732	424,122	Baal	Moody's
Corporate bonds	-	-		628,371	333,268	Baa2	Moody's
Corporate bonds	-	-		298,045	815,356	Baa3	Moody's
Asset-backed securities	-	-		313,254	-	Not Rated	N/A
U.S. government securities	-	-		5,881,309	1,842,324	Not Rated	N/A
Pooled investments	-	-		23,037,595	-	Not Rated	N/A
Bank investment pools	1,651,92	4 -		-	-	A3	Moody's
Bank investment pools	148,06	4 3,090,41	3	-	-	AAA	S&P
Bank investment pools	11,915,85	7 3,643,07	6	-	-	Not Rated	N/A
Bank investment pools	-	146,11	0	-	-	AI/PI	Moody's

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 4 - Deferred Revenue

Governmental funds report deferred revenue in connection with receivables for revenue that is not considered to be available to liquidate liabilities of the current period. Governmental funds and the governmental activities also defer revenue recognition in connection with resources that have been received but not yet earned. At the end of the current fiscal year, the deferred revenue recorded is as follows:

	Unavailable		Unearned		Total	
Delin avent anna est ctaves	¢	100 000	ф		ф	100.000
Delinquent property taxes	\$	100,000	\$	- 7 242 042	\$	100,000
Special assessments		223,057		7,342,042		7,565,099
Grant receivables		12,208		-		12,208
ALS receivables		236,035		-		236,035
Other		170,308		-		170,308
Total	\$	741,608	\$	7,342,042	\$	8,083,650

In addition, the component units have recorded \$197,257 of deferred revenue which relates to unearned grant revenue.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 5 - Capital Assets

Capital asset activity of the City's governmental and business-type activities was as follows:

	Balance		Disposals and		Balance
Governmental Activities	July 1, 2005	Additions	Adjustments	Reclassifications	June 30, 2006
Capital assets not being depreciated:					
Land	\$ 21,552,512	\$ 446,098	\$ (22,216)	\$ -	\$ 21,976,394
Construction in progress	19,178,001	13,643,412	(5,901)	(22,560,201)	10,255,311
Subtotal	40,730,513	14,089,510	(28,117)	(22,560,201)	32,231,705
Capital assets being depreciated:					
Roads and sidewalks	216,745,393	897,924	(120,000)	6,261,791	223,785,108
Buildings and improvements	46,276,491	223,815	-	15,448,929	61,949,235
Other improvements	5,560,314	-	-	508,793	6,069,107
Machinery and equipment	30,492,414	1,865,284	(440,059)	340,688	32,258,327
Subtotal	299,074,612	2,987,023	(560,059)	22,560,201	324,061,777
Accumulated depreciation:					
Roads and sidewalks	119,762,214	4,467,904	(120,000)	-	124,110,118
Buildings and improvements	13,555,797	1,400,806	-	-	14,956,603
Other improvements	2,331,372	297,608	-	-	2,628,980
Machinery and equipment	16,351,845	2,677,959	(383,643)		18,646,161
Subtotal	152,001,228	8,844,277	(503,643)		160,341,862
Net capital assets being					
depreciated	147,073,384	(5,857,254)	(56,416)	22,560,201	163,719,915
Net capital assets	\$ 187,803,897	\$ 8,232,256	\$ (84,533)	<u>\$ -</u>	\$ 195,951,620

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 5 - Capital Assets (Continued)

	Balance				Balance
Business-type Activities	July 1, 2005	Additions	Disposals	Reclassifications	June 30, 2006
Capital assets not being					
depreciated:					
Land	\$ 10,457,311	\$ -	\$ (54,187)	\$ -	\$ 10,403,124
Construction in progress	2,083,144	485,244	(112,000)	(1,753,406)	702,982
Subtotal	12,540,455	485,244	(166,187)	(1,753,406)	11,106,106
Capital assets being depreciated:					
Land improvements	18,729,787	211,577	_	-	18,941,364
Machinery and equipment	4,002,688	696,570	(541,953)	-	4,157,305
Buildings and building			(, ,		
improvements	32,193,254	_	_	-	32,193,254
Vehicles	636,399	_	(69,782)	-	566,617
Sanitary sewer system	106,275,607	921,681	-	95,044	107,292,332
Water mains and meters	31,367,393	127,937	(111,915)	1,658,362	33,041,777
Furniture and fixtures	1,307,365				1,307,365
Subtotal	194,512,493	1,957,765	(723,650)	1,753,406	197,500,014
Accumulated depreciation:					
Land improvements	9,070,388	937,864	(101)	-	10,008,151
Machinery and equipment	1,633,737	398,977	(302,209)	-	1,730,505
Buildings and building			,		
improvements	3,468,475	668,224	_	-	4,136,699
Vehicles	418,204	59,982	(52,337)	-	425,849
Sanitary sewer system	14,032,085	1,450,921	· -	-	15,483,006
Water mains and meters	9,654,618	915,755	(111,915)	-	10,458,458
Furniture and fixtures	682,809	110,480	(3,269)		790,020
Subtotal	38,960,316	4,542,203	(469,831)		43,032,688
Net capital assets being					
depreciated	155,552,177	(2,584,438)	(253,819)	1,753,406	154,467,326
Net capital assets	\$ 168,092,632	\$ (2,099,194)	\$ (420,006)	<u> </u>	\$ 165,573,432

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Housing

Commission

Total

7,799

1,870,885

1,891,975

DDA

BRDA

Note 5 - Capital Assets (Continued)

DDA

Housing Commission

The component unit capital assets are composed of the following:

TIFA

LDFA

Capital assets not being depreciated - Land	\$ 17,745	\$ 12,287,860	\$ 77,187	\$ 814,355	\$ 2,843,60	7 \$ 16,040,754
Capital assets being depreciated: Land improvements Vehicles, machinery, and	-	265,813	-	88,000	4,431,158	3 4,784,971
equipment Building	-	15,768		67,993 	5,395,61 31,204,83	
Subtotal	-	281,581	-	155,993	41,031,603	3 41,469,177
Accumulated depreciation		(52,114)		(22,698)	(14,664,008	B) <u>(14,738,820)</u>
Net capital assets being depreciated		229,467		133,295	26,367,59	26,730,357
Net capital assets	\$ 17,745	\$ 12,517,327	\$ 77,187	\$ 947,650	\$ 29,211,202	\$ 42,771,111
Depreciation expo	ense was	charged to	program	s of the p	orimary gov	ernment as
Governmental act	ivities:					
General gover	nment				\$	859,914
Public safety						1,970,939
Public works						5,093,910
Economic dev	elopment					34,117
Recreation and	d culture					885,397
Tota	al governr	mental activit	ies		<u>\$</u>	8,844,277
Business-type acti	vities:					
Water					\$	1,031,407
Sewer						1,550,881
Golf						1,373,098
Sportsplex						586,817
Tota	al busines:	s-type activit	ies		\$	4,542,203
Component unit a	ctivities:					
TIFA					\$	13,291

Total component unit activities

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 5 - Capital Assets (Continued)

Construction Commitments - The City has active construction projects at year end. At year end, the City's commitments with contractors are as follows:

			ļ	Remaining
	S	pent to Date		ommitment
Land improvements - Component units Street projects:	\$	9,161,023	\$	1,113,032
Component units		10,729,024		1,763,576
Primary government		819,000		819,000
Total	\$	20,709,047	\$	3,695,608

Note 6 - Interfund Receivables, Payables, and Transfers

The composition of interfund balances is as follows:

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund		Amount	
Due to/from Other Fur	ıds			
General Fund	Golf Courses Fund (major fund) Other nonmajor governmental	\$	405,624	
	funds		735,154	
	Sewer Fund (major fund)		496,037	
	Taylor Sportsplex Fund (major fund)		21,692	
	Water Fund (major fund)		509,188	
	Ecorse Creek Fund		4,215	
	Total General Fund		2,171,910	
Other nonmajor				
governmental funds	General Fund		15,244	
	Other nonmajor governmental			
	funds		679,786	
	Total nonmajor			
	governmental funds		695,030	

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 6 - Interfund Receivables, Payables, and Transfers (Continued)

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	Amount			
Ecorse Creek	Water Fund (major fund)	\$	8,489		
Sewer Fund (major fund)	Water Fund (major fund) Other nonmajor governmental		2,347,764		
	funds		55,786		
	Ecorse Creek		411		
	Total Sewer Fund		2,403,961		
Water Fund (major fund)	Ecorse Creek		150,515		
` , ,	Sewer Fund (major fund)		1,781		
	Other nonmajor governmental				
	funds		139,911		
	Total Water Fund		292,207		
	Total	<u>\$</u>	5,571,597		

These balances result from the time lag between the dates that goods and services are provided or reimbursable expenditures occur, transactions are recorded in the accounting system, and payments between funds are made.

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	 Amount		
Advances to/from Othe				
General Fund	Golf Courses Fund	\$ 1,750,000		

The advance will be repaid based on anticipated revenue from the golf courses over the next six years.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 6 - Interfund Receivables, Payables, and Transfers (Continued)

Interfund Transfers

	Tr	ansfer Out -
		Other
	Nonmajor	
	Governmenta	
		Funds
Transfer in - General Fund	\$	161,105
Transfer in - Other nonmajor governmental funds		2,629,686
Total	\$	2,790,791

The transfer in to the General Fund represents a reimbursement from an overpayment made during the previous fiscal year to finance the local road program. Remaining transfers were mainly between the City's two street funds to redistribute Act 51 revenue from the Major Streets Fund to the Local Streets Fund, where the funds were then spent.

Note 7 - Long-term Debt

The City issues bonds to provide for the acquisition and construction of major capital facilities. General obligation bonds are direct obligations and pledge the full faith and credit of the City. County contractual agreements and installment purchase agreements are also general obligations of the government.

Note 7 - Long-term Debt (Continued)

Long-term obligation activity can be summarized as follows:

	Interest Rate		Beginning						Ending		Due Within	
	Range		Balance	Additions	F	Reductions	Т	ransfers		Balance		One Year
Governmental Activities												
Bonds and contractual obligations:												
2001 Certificates of Participation - Honeywell												
Project - Amount of issue - \$4,125,000	3.9%-5.0%	\$	2.660.000	\$ -	\$	400,000	\$	_	\$	2.260.000	\$	415.000
2001 Installment Purchase Agreement -		•	, ,			,	•		•		Ċ	,
Downriver Mutual Aid E911 - Amount of issue -												
\$7,250,000	6.45%		4,590,712	_		712,981		-		3,877,731		757,131
2004 Michigan Transportation Refunding Bonds -												
Amount of issue - \$1,220,000	3.0%-3.5%		1,220,000	-		290,000				930,000		300,000
Building Authority Bonds - Series 2000 - Amount												
of issue - \$10,475,000	4.7%-6.0%		5,065,000	-		530,000		-		4,535,000		550,000
2003 Building Authority Refunding Bonds -												
Amount of issue - \$2,570,000	2.0%-3.0%		1,770,000	-		425,000		-		1,345,000		430,000
Building Authority Public Facilities Bonds -												
Series 2003 - Amount of issue - \$13,750,000	2.5%-5.0%		13,245,000	-		515,000		-		12,730,000		530,000
Road Ioan - State Infrastructure Bank (SIB) -												
Racho Road - Amount of issue - \$260,000	4.0%		116,705	-		27,301		-		89,404		28,414
2004 Building Authority Public Facilities Bonds -	2.00/ 4.750/		1 250 000			45.000				1 205 000		FO 000
Amount of issue - \$1,250,000	2.0%-4.75%		1,250,000	-		45,000		-		1,205,000		50,000
General Obligation Bonds - Series 2004 - Storm - Amount of issue - \$945,000	3.0%-4.4%		895,000			50,000		(145,000)		700,000		45,000
2005 Building Authority Public Facilities Bonds -	3.070-4.470		873,000	-		30,000	,	(143,000)		700,000		43,000
Amount of issue - \$14,795,000	3.0%-5.0%		14.795.000	_		1.175.000		_		13.620.000		870.000
2005 Building Authority Refunding Bonds -	3.0 70-3.0 70		1 1,7 75,000			1,173,000				13,020,000		070,000
Amount of issue - \$3,445,000	5.0%		3,445,000	_		_		_		3,445,000		_
Ecorse Creek Pollution Abatement Drain Note, 2004:	5.675		5, 1.5,555							5, ,		
Amount of issue - \$249.642	3.59%		249.642	_		100.602		_		149.040		50.301
Deferred costs of financing			(560,004)	-		(46,667)		_		(513,337)		(46,667)
Other long-term obligation - Compensated						, ,				, ,		, ,
absences			5,918,597	1,090,030		1,489,090		-		5,519,537		1,800,000
				-								
Total governmental activities		\$	54,660,652	\$ 1,090,030	\$	5,713,307	\$ ((145,000)	\$	49,892,375	\$	5,779,179
Business-type Activities												
General obligation bonds:												
Building Authority Bonds - Series 2000 - Amount												
of issue - \$5,525,000	4.7%-6.0%	\$	2,840,000	\$ -	\$	295,000	\$	-	\$	2,545,000	\$	310,000
2005 Building Authority Refunding Bonds -												
Amount of issue - \$1,870,000	5.0%		1,870,000	-		-		-		1,870,000		-
General Obligation Bonds - Series 2004 -	2.00/ 4.40/		1.545.000			00.000		145.000		1 (20 000		05.000
Water and Sewer - Amount of issue - \$1,655,000	3.0%-4.4%		1,565,000	-		90,000		145,000		1,620,000		95,000
E-Z - Go Textron Financial Lease - Amount of issue - \$477.981	5.50%		275.213			275.213						
1994 Downriver Sewage Disposal System Bonds -	3.30%		2/3,213	-		2/3,213		-		-		-
Amount of issue - \$81,842,781	Various		60.698.874	931,077		3.569.707		_		58,060,244		3.673.492
Municipal Purchase Agreement - Amount of issue	vai ious		00,070,071	751,077		3,307,707				30,000,211		3,073,172
\$563,520	3.58%		_	563,520		_		_		563,520		128,520
Other long-term obligation - Compensated				,-20						,-=		,
absences		_	501,110	2,384	_	50,111	_		_	453,383	_	45,338
Total business-type activities		\$	67,750,197	\$ 1,496,981	\$	4,280,031	\$	145,000	\$	65,112,147	\$	4,252,350

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 7 - Long-term Debt (Continued)

	Interest Rate Range	Beginning Balance	Additions	Reductions	Transfers	Ending Balance	Due Within One Year
Component Units							
TIFA Bonds - 2000-A HUD Section 108 Loan - Senior Activities Center - Amount of issue - \$1,500,000	Various	\$ 1,020,000	\$ -	\$ 120,000	\$ -	\$ 900,000	\$ 120,000
Tax Increment Bonds - Series 1998 Refunding	4.55%-4.85%	11,695,000	-	1,735,000	-	9,960,000	1,815,000
Land Contract - Alert Kennel	6.0%	875,000	-	100,000	-	775,000	100,000
Tax Increment Bonds - Series 2001	3.9%-5.5%	33,075,000		1,060,000		32,015,000	1,150,000
Total TIFA		46,665,000	-	3,015,000		43,650,000	3,185,000
Brownfield Redevelopment Tax Increment Bonds, tax exempt - Series 2006 - Amount of issue - \$3,100,000	5.35%-6.0%	-	3,100,000	-	-	3,100,000	95,000
Brownfield Redevelopment Revenue Bonds, tax exempt - Series 2005 - Amount of issue - \$11,080,000	3.625%-5.00%	11,080,000	-	-	-	11,080,000	-
Brownfield Redevelopment Revenue Bonds, taxable Series 2005 - Amount of issue - \$3,080,000	4.40%-5.30%	3,080,000				3,080,000	
Total Brownfield		14,160,000	3,100,000	-	-	17,260,000	95,000
DDA Bonds - Downtown Development Bond - Series 2002	3.25%-4.7%	2,290,000	-	80,000	-	2,210,000	85,000
Housing Commission - Bonds and mortgage payable	Various	29,791,076		518,871		29,272,205	394,439
Total component units		\$ 92,906,076	\$ 3,100,000	\$ 3,613,871	<u> </u>	\$ 92,392,205	\$ 3,759,439
Total City debt		\$ 215,316,925	\$ 5,687,011	\$ 13,607,209	\$ -	\$ 207,396,727	\$ 13,790,968

Component Unit Debt

The Housing Commission's debt represents the debt owed by its component unit, the TCDC. The debt represents \$24,765,000 of MSHDA bonds, a mortgage loan, and commercial loans payable. The debt is comprised mainly of Michigan State Housing Development Authority (MSHDA) Limited Obligation Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A (the "Bonds"). In 2002, the TCDC formed three single-member limited liability companies, of which the TCDC is the sole member. The Ponds of Taylor Limited Dividend Housing Association LLC (the "Ponds"), The Parks of Taylor Limited Dividend Housing Association LLC (the "Parks"), and the Courtyards of Taylor Limited Dividend Housing Association LLC (the "Courtyards") were formed with their sole assets to be those of each corresponding apartment complex. On this same date, the Parks, Ponds, and Courtyards entered into agreements to issue the MSHDA bonds and to obtain taxable supplemental real estate loans.

Note 7 - Long-term Debt (Continued)

The Bonds have a tax-exempt variable interest rate that is determined weekly based on the remarketing agent's submitting the bonds to the market for bidding. During the audit period, the weekly interest rate fluctuated and averaged approximately 2.80 percent (APR). The interest on the bonds is due monthly, and they also have principal reserve (sinking fund) requirements that begin on the dates noted above. The interest rate on the taxable loans is fixed throughout the term. Their principal and interest payment is also paid monthly. The respective loans are secured by all of the assets of each respective LLC.

The fair value of the bonds and commercial loans payable is estimated based on the current rates offered to the TCDC for debt of the same remaining maturities. At June 30, 2006, the fair value of the bonds approximates the amounts recorded in the financial statements.

In September 2004, the TCDC obtained a commercial mortgage with a bank for \$2,500,000 in order to finance the demolition of the Springs Apartment buildings. The mortgage requires monthly payments of \$14,603 including interest of 5.68 percent per annum until October 1, 2013, when the remaining unpaid principal balance is due. The balance outstanding on this mortgage at June 30, 2006 was \$2,445,310.

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for the above bond and note obligations are as follows:

		Go	verr	nmental Activ	ities	1		Business-type Activities				Component Unit Activities				es		
		Principal		Interest		Total		Principal		Interest		Total		Principal	Interest			Total
2007	\$	4,025,846	\$	1,973,376	\$	5,999,222	\$	4,207,012	\$	1,608,514	\$	5,815,526	\$	3,759,439	\$	4,021,444	\$	7,780,883
2008		4,207,253		1,814,755		6,022,008		4,351,345		1,526,910		5,878,255		4,033,069		3,876,765		7,909,834
2009		4,411,556		1,639,738		6,051,294		4,473,183		1,410,687		5,883,870		4,268,140		3,693,161		7,961,301
2010		3,739,710		1,457,644		5,197,354		4,589,243		1,289,992		5,879,235		4,768,159		3,484,406		8,252,565
2011		3,546,810		1,277,621		4,824,431		4,582,361		1,066,546		5,648,907		5,344,626		3,251,127		8,595,753
2012-2016		14,715,000		3,641,391		18,356,391		24,436,565		4,125,912		28,562,477		19,530,673		13,116,139		32,646,812
2017-2021		7,160,000		1,692,393		8,852,393		17,765,260		1,149,448		18,914,708		22,210,623		8,173,081		30,383,704
2022-2026		3,080,000		411,563		3,491,563		253,795		16,014		269,809		9,762,356		4,346,309		14,108,665
2027-2031		-		-		-		-		423		423		12,499,037		2,295,131		14,794,168
2032-2036	_		_		_	-	_			-	_		_	6,216,083	_	332,343	_	6,548,426
Total	\$	44,886,175	\$	13,908,481	\$	58,794,656	\$	64,658,764	\$	12,194,446	\$	76,853,210	\$	92,392,205	\$	46,589,906	\$	138,982,111

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 7 - Long-term Debt (Continued)

In conjunction with the issuances of \$19,570,000 and \$36,000,000 of Tax Increment Financing Authority (TIFA) bonds Series 1998 and 2001, respectively, the component unit is required to maintain debt service reserves in the amounts of \$996,000 and \$3,448,681, respectively. In order to cover the reserve requirement, the TIFA component unit has obtained insurance coverage totaling \$5,310,681 for this purpose. In addition to the reserves and in conjunction with the above debt issues, the City has agreed to certain covenants, including, but not limited to, restriction on amendments to the TIFA plan districts and continued compliance with the State of Michigan regulations and statutes affecting the TIFA bond indenture.

Defeased Debt

In prior years, the City defeased certain bonds by placing the proceeds of new bonds in an irrevocable trust to provide for all future debt service payments on the old bonds. Accordingly, the trust accounts' assets and liabilities for the defeased bonds are not included in the general purpose financial statements. At June 30, 2006, the City's portion of bonds outstanding that are considered defeased approximates \$18,240,000 for governmental activities and \$9,835,000 for component units.

No Commitment Debt - Excluded from long-term debt are bonds issued under the Economic Development Corporation Act of 1974, as amended, which authorizes the formation of economic development corporations and their participation in economic development projects in the City. The revenue bonds issued are payable solely from the net revenue derived from the respective leases and are not a general obligation of the City. After these bonds are issued, all financial activity is taken over by the paying agent. The bonds and related lease contracts are not reflected in the City's financial statements. Information regarding the status of each bond issue, including possible default, must be obtained from the paying agent or other knowledgeable source.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 8 - Restricted Assets

The balances of the restricted asset accounts are as follows:

	Go	Governmental		Business-type		mponent
		Activities		Activities		Units
Unspent bond proceeds and related interest	\$	310,912	\$	-	\$	64,659
Deposits held at Wayne County		-		11,240,491		-
Restricted deposits - Cash		665,383		_		94,706
Restricted deposits held by lender						2,857,054
Total restricted assets	\$	976,295	\$	11,240,491	\$ 3	3,016,419

Note 9 - Risk Management

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to property loss, torts, errors and omissions, and employee injuries (workers' compensation), as well as medical benefits provided to employees. The City has purchased commercial insurance for medical and property claims and for risk related to torts and errors and omissions and is uninsured for workers' compensation claims. Settled claims relating to the commercial insurance have not exceeded the amount of insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

The City estimates the liability for workers' compensation claims that have been incurred through the end of the fiscal year, including both those claims that have been reported as well as those that have not yet been reported. Changes in the estimated liability for the past two fiscal years were as follows:

	2006	2005
Unpaid claims - Beginning of year	\$ 103,856	\$ 46,539
Incurred claims - Including claims incurred but not reported Claim payments	(252,281) 443,442	(310,063) 367,380
Unpaid claims - End of year	\$ 295,017	\$ 103,856

Note 10 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan

Plan Description

Police and Fire Retirement System - The Police and Fire Retirement System is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan that is administered by the City of Taylor, Michigan; this plan covers almost all police and fire employees of the City. The system provides retirement disability and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries. At June 30, 2005, the date of the most recent actuarial valuation, membership consisted of 184 retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits and terminated employees entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them, and 157 current active employees. The plan does not issue a separate financial report.

General Employees' Pension Plan - The General Employees' Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan that is administered by the City of Taylor, Michigan; this plan covers all employees other than court and police and fire employees. The system provides retirement disability and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries. At December 31, 2005, the date of the most recent actuarial valuation, membership consisted of 201 retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits and terminated employees entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them, and 186 current active employees. The plan does not issue a separate financial report.

Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Michigan - The City also participates in the Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Michigan (MERS), an agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that covers all 23rd District Court employees of the City. The MERS provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries. The MERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the MERS. That report may be obtained by writing to the MERS at 1134 Municipal Way, Lansing, MI 48917.

Funding Policy

Police and Fire Retirement System, General Employees' Pension Plan, and Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Michigan - Plan member contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Employer contributions to the plan are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan. Please refer to Note I for further significant accounting policies.

Note 10 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)

The obligation to contribute to and maintain the system for these employees was established by negotiation with the City's collective bargaining units and requires a contribution from the employees of 5 percent. The funding policy provides for periodic employer contributions at actuarially determined rates.

Annual Pension Cost

Police and Fire Retirement System - For the year ended June 30, 2006, the City's contribution of approximately \$2,943,000 equaled the annual pension cost, and was made subsequent to June 30, 2006. The annual required contribution was determined as part of an actuarial valuation at June 30, 2004 using the entry age actuarial cost method. Significant actuarial assumptions used include (a) a rate of return on the investment of present and future assets of 7.6 percent per year compounded annually, of which 5.0 percent is attributable to inflation, (b) projected salary increases of 5.0 percent per year compounded annually, attributable to inflation, (c) additional projected salary increases ranging from 0.1 percent to 3.0 percent per year, attributable to seniority/merit, and (d) no postretirement benefit increases. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility over a four-year period. The unfunded actuarial liability is being amortized as a level percentage of payroll on a closed basis. The remaining amortization period is 10 to 23 years.

General Employees' Pension Plan - For the year ended December 31, 2005, the City's annual pension cost of approximately \$1,123,000 for the plan was equal to the City's required contribution. The annual required contribution was determined as part of an addendum to the actuarial valuation at December 31, 2003 using the aggregate cost method. Significant actuarial assumptions used include (a) a rate of return on the investment of present and future assets of 8.0 percent per year compounded annually, of which 2.5 percent is attributable to inflation, (b) projected salary increases of 2.5 percent per year compounded annually, attributable to inflation, and (c) no postretirement benefit increases. The actuarial value of assets was determined based on market value. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility over a five-year period.

Note 10 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)

Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Michigan - For the year ended June 30, 2006, the City's actual pension cost of \$39,895 was equal to the City's required contribution. The annual required contribution was determined as part of an actuarial valuation at December 31, 2003 using the entry age normal cost method. Significant actuarial assumptions used include (a) a rate of return on the investment of present and future assets of 8.0 percent per year compounded annually, 4.5 percent attributable to inflation (b) projected salary increases of 4.5 percent per year compounded annually, attributable to inflation, (c) additional projected salary increases ranging from 0 percent to 4.16 percent per year, attributable to seniority/merit, and (d) no postretirement benefit increases. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility over a four-year period. The unfunded actuarial liability is being amortized as a level percentage of payroll on a closed basis. The remaining amortization period is 30 years.

Reserves

As of June 30, 2006, the plans' legally required reserves have been fully funded as follows:

Police and Fire Retirement System:

Reserve for employees' contributions \$ 7,050,482 Reserve for retired benefit payments \$ 88,611,945

General Employees' Pension Plan - Reserve for employees' contributions 6,376,987

Three-year trend information for the Police and Fire Retirement System is as follows:

	 Fiscal Year Ended June 30								
	 2006 2005				2004				
Annual pension cost (APC)	\$ 2,943,000	\$	2,497,000	\$	2,000,000				
Percentage of APC contributed	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%				

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 10 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)

Three-year trend information for the Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Michigan is as follows:

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30								
		2006		2005		2004			
Annual pension cost (APC)	\$	39,895	\$	39,415	\$	32,151			
Percentage of APC contributed		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%			
		Calendar	Yea	r Ended Dec	emb	per 31			
		2005		2004		2003			
Actuarial value of assets	\$	1,883,048	\$	1,731,501	\$	1,535,501			
Actuarial accrued liability (AAL)									
(entry age)	\$	1,993,216	\$	1,832,652	\$	1,786,784			
Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	\$	110,168	\$	101,151	\$	251,283			
Funded ratio		94.5%		94.5%		85.9%			
Covered payroll	\$	690,327	\$	675,551	\$	733,427			
UAAL as a percentage of covered									
payroll		15.96%		15.00%		34.26%			

Three-year trend information for the General Employees' Pension Plan is as follows:

		Year Ended December 31								
		2005		2004		2003				
Annual pension cost (APC)	\$	1,123,000	\$	1,133,000	\$	641,000				
Percentage of APC contributed		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%				

Note II - Defined Contribution Plan

The City provides benefits to recently hired employees that are not eligible for the defined benefit plans through a defined contribution plan established July 1, 2003. In a defined contribution plan, benefits depend solely on amounts contributed to the plan, plus investment earnings. Employees are eligible to participate from the date of hire. As established by various collective bargaining agreements, the employees are permitted to contribute up to 4 percent of their pretax earnings, and up to 25 percent of their after-tax earnings. The City contributes between 25 percent and 200 percent of no greater than 4 percent of the employee contributions as an employer match. The amounts in the employee's account, including earnings and employer match, are fully vested after five years of service.

The City's total payroll during the current year was \$29,062,665. The current year contribution was calculated based on covered payroll of \$829,658, resulting in an employer contribution of \$32,416 and employee contributions of \$33,186.

Note 12 - Contingent Liabilities

The City has been named as a defendant in numerous claims and lawsuits requesting damages of various amounts, the majority of which do not state a specific maximum. The various proceedings have not yet progressed to the point where a legal opinion can be reached as to the ultimate liability, if any, after consideration of available insurance, where applicable, that may result from the resolution of these matters. The City has not recorded an estimate of this liability at June 30, 2006.

The City has also been named as a defendant in a lawsuit involving the reimbursements of costs involving the relocation of utility structures as a part of the reconstruction of Telegraph Road. The potential loss to the City is expected to range from approximately \$2.4 million to \$4.0 million.

Note 12 - Contingent Liabilities (Continued)

The City had been named as a defendant in a lawsuit alleging violations of the Clean Water Act occurring in the Downriver Sewage Treatment System. Several other communities, including Wayne County, were also named as defendants. Under terms of a consent decree, the communities will be required to undertake construction projects to expand the capacity of the system and eliminate any violations of the Clean Water Act. The estimated total cost of the project is approximately \$324,000,000, with the City's share estimated to be \$83,000,000. Pursuant to the order of the U.S. District Court, the City will be entitled to judgment levy the amount necessary to pay the bond principal and interest amount. To date, approximately \$41,100,000 has been collected through the property tax levy for debt payments. As of June 30, 2006, the City has recorded approximately \$58,000,000 in remaining debt outstanding related to the required projects. During 2007, two additional bonds will be issued approximating \$5,500,000.

Note 13 - Other Postemployment Benefits

The City provides health care benefits to all full-time employees upon retirement, in accordance with labor contracts. Currently, 383 retirees are eligible, including 29 Water and Sewer Commission employees. The City includes pre-Medicare retirees and their dependents in its insured health care plan, with no contribution required by the participant. The City purchases Medicare supplemental insurance for retirees eligible for Medicare. Expenditures for postemployment health care benefits are recognized as the insurance premiums become due; during the year, this amounted to approximately \$3,461,000 paid out of the General Fund, including approximately \$299,000 for Water and Sewer Commission retirees, which was reimbursed to the General Fund out of that Enterprise Fund.

Upcoming Reporting Change - The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has recently released Statement Number 45, *Accounting and Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*. The new pronouncement provides guidance for local units of government in recognizing the cost of retiree health care, as well as any "other" postemployment benefits (other than pensions). The new rules will cause the government-wide financial statements to recognize the cost of providing retiree health care coverage over the working life of the employee, rather than at the time the health care premiums are paid. The new pronouncement is effective for the year ending June 30, 2009.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2006

Note 14 - Use Agreement

The TCDC and HUD entered into a use agreement that contains restrictions governing the operations of the Villages of Taylor. The use agreement requires the TCDC to make certain renovations specified in the Application for Transfer of Physical Assets submitted to HUD on March 12, 1998, maintain a replacement reserve with its mortgagor, relocate tenants as agreed, and to rent 77 percent of the project's units in accordance with affordability restrictions until September 1, 2012. In addition, the use agreement contains restrictions on the amount of rental charges and distributions.

The use agreement requires allocation of any distribution of income from operations or upon the sale of individual units as follows:

- Repayment of the National City Bank mortgage loan
- Repayment of the City's equity investment of \$17,633,330 plus 6 percent interest compounded annually, which accumulated to \$27,989,955 at June 30, 2006
- Repayment of HUD's equity investment of \$16,276,340 plus interest at 6 percent compounded annually. At June 30, 2006, this amount totaled \$25,819,643.
- Any remaining distribution to the City to fund programs that benefit low- and moderate-income residents

If the distributions are the result of a sale or refinancing of the project or a portion of the project, then the distribution first repays the mortgage, next equally pays the City's and HUD's equity investments, and finally pays the City for programs that benefit low- and moderate-income residents.

Required	Supplemental	Information
•	• •	

Required Supplemental Information Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund Year Ended June 30, 2006

	Original Budget	Amended Budget	Actual	Variance with Amended Budget
Fund Balance - Beginning of year	\$ 4,386,238	\$ 6,836,256	\$ 6,836,256	\$ -
Revenue				
Property taxes	27,724,000	27,777,500	27,930,326	152,826
Federal sources	280,220	460,304	407,479	(52,825)
State sources	378,616	942,952	751,114	(191,838)
State-shared revenue	8,159,474	8,041,244	8,043,087	1,843
Fees and permits	770,000	788,000	824,801	36,801
Fines and forfeitures	4,128,000	4,868,200	4,806,384	(61,816)
Charges for services	2,926,800	3,289,769	3,253,713	(36,056)
Interest income and rents	630,000	967,450	736,95 I	(230,499)
Other	12,285,300	9,578,384	8,837,715	(740,669)
Transfer from other funds		275,945	161,105	(114,840)
Total revenue	57,282,410	56,989,748	55,752,675	(1,237,073)
Expenditures				
General government	7,447,530	8,395,230	8,197,842	197,388
Public safety	19,684,920	19,469,329	19,067,017	402,312
Public works*	14,986,825	15,207,819	14,367,261	840,558
Recreation and culture	3,178,950	3,565,444	3,221,849	343,595
General administration	402,185	791,275	862,960	(71,685)
Employee benefits	11,582,000	12,689,960	11,847,022	842,938
Total expenditures	57,282,410	60,119,057	57,563,951	2,555,106
Fund Balance - End of year	\$ 4,386,238	\$ 3,706,947	\$ 5,024,980	\$ 1,318,033

^{*} Public works includes debt service payments, which are included in debt service in the governmental fund statement of revenue, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the year ended June 30, 2006.

Required Supplemental Information Pension Systems Schedule of Funding Progress June 30, 2006

(dollar amounts in millions)

The schedule of funding progress is as follows:

			Α	ctuarial					
			Accru	ued Liability			Funded		UAAL as a
Actuarial	Actu	arial Value	(AA	L) - Entry	Und	derfunded AAL	Ratio	Covered	Percentage of
Valuation	of	Assets		Age		(UAAL)	(Percent)	Payroll	Covered
Date		(a)		(b)		(b-a)	(a/b)	 (c)	Payroll
Police and Fire	e Retire	ement Syst	tem						
06/30/00	\$	107.0	\$	100.5	\$	(6.5)	106.5	\$ 9.1	-
06/30/01		109.9		106.8		(3.1)	102.9	9.6	-
06/30/02		108.0		110.5		2.5	97.7	10.6	23.6
06/30/03		104.0		113.0		9.0	92.0	10.6	85.0
06/30/04		100.4		117.1		16.7	85.7	11.2	149.1
06/30/05		99.0		123.4		24.4	80.2	12.6	193.6
General Emplo	oyees' R	Retirement	t Syst	em					
12/31/00	\$	62.3	\$	62.3	\$	-	100.0	\$ 9.8	-
12/31/01		60.6		60.6		-	100.0	10.0	-
12/31/02		57.3		57.3		-	100.0	10.3	-
12/31/03		55.5		55.5		-	100.0	10.1	-
12/31/04		54.1		54.1		-	100.0	10.3	-
12/31/05		52.8		52.8		-	100.0	10.1	-

Required Supplemental Information Schedule of Employer Contributions June 30, 2006

Police and Fire Retirement System

Year Ended	Valuation Date	Annual Required		Percentage
June 30	June 30	Contribution		Contributed
2001	2000	\$	812,963	100
2002	2001		579,042	100
2003	2002		1,258,709	100
2004	2003		2,000,194	100
2005	2004		2,497,495	100
2006	2005		2,943,025	100

Year Ended December 31	Valuation Date December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed			
2001	1999	\$ -	100			
2002	2000	-	100			
2003	2001		100			
2004	2002	641,358	100			
2005	2003	1,133,342	100			
2006	2004	1,122,958	100			

Required Supplemental Information Schedule of Employer Contributions (Continued) June 30, 2006

The information presented above was determined as part of the actuarial valuations at the dates indicated. Additional information as of June 30, 2005 (Police and Fire Retirement System) and December 31, 2005 (General Employees' Pension Plan), the latest actuarial valuation dates, follows:

	Police and Fire	General Employees'
	Retirement System	Pension Plan
Actuarial cost method	Individual entry age	Aggregate
Amortization method	Level percent, closed	Level dollar, closed
Remaining amortization period	10-23 years	12 years
Asset valuation method	4-year smoothed market	5-year smoothed market
Actuarial assumptions:		
Investment rate of return*	7.6%	8.0%
Projected salary increases*	5.0%-8.0%	2.5%
*Includes inflation at	5.0%	2.5%
Cost of living adjustments	None	None

Other Supplemental Information

								Spec	ial R	evenue Fun	ds							
	Major Streets		-	Local Streets	F	Police Forfeiture	De	Community evelopment lock Grant		Building epartment	1996 Voted Levy		DARE/ GREAT		Tree Replacement Fund		Enf	ocal Law orcement ock Grant
Assets																		
Cash and investments Accounts receivable - Special	\$	952,124	\$	720,973	\$	739,179	\$	40	\$	99,667	\$	1,605,210	\$	61,778	\$	13,558	\$	-
assessments Due from other governmental units Due from other funds	- 479,495 -		393 170,225 355,161		- - -		- 210,572 -		- -		- - 194		- - -		- - -		- - -	
Restricted assets Total assets	\$	1,431,619	\$	1,246,752	\$	739,179	\$	210,612	\$	99,667	\$	1,605,404	\$	61,778	\$	13,558	\$	
Liabilities and Fund Balances																		
Liabilities Accounts payable	\$	258,699	\$	230,899	\$	105,570	\$	189,950	\$	6,897	\$	2,238	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Deferred revenue Due to other funds	_	688,053	_	393 305,829	_	307,480	_	20,662	_	92,770			_	55,512 146	_	<u>-</u>	_	<u>-</u>
Total liabilities		946,752		537,121		413,050		210,612		99,667		2,238		55,658		-		-
Fund Balances																		
Reserved for construction and other expenditures Unreserved		- 484,867		- 709,631		- 326,129		- -		-		1,603,166		6,120		13,558		-
Total fund balances		484,867		709,631		326,129						1,603,166		6,120		13,558		
Total liabilities and fund balances	<u>\$</u>	1,431,619	\$	1,246,752	\$	739,179	\$	210,612	<u>\$</u>	99,667	\$	1,605,404	<u>\$</u>	61,778	\$	13,558	<u>\$</u>	

Other Supplemental Information Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds June 30, 2006

			Debt Ser	vice F	unds				Capital Pr	-				
	General Obligation Debt		Taylor Building Authority Debt		1996 Michigan Transportation Fund Bond		DMA/ 911 Debt		2004 LTGO Capital Improvement Bond Fund				Total Nonmajor Governmental Fund	
\$	68,059	\$	12,525	\$	22,437	\$	89,706	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,385,256	
	-		_		-		-		-		_		393	
	-		-		-		-		-		-		860,292	
	-		15,050		324,625		-		-		-		695,030	
	-				-		665,383		310,912	-			976,295	
\$	68,059	\$	27,575	\$	347,062	\$	755,089	\$	310,912	\$	-	\$	6,917,266	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	794,253	
	-		-		-		-		- 195,697		-		55,905	
-								-	175,677				1,610,637	
	-		-		-		-		195,697		-		2,460,795	
	_		_		<u>-</u>		_		115,215		_		1,738,059	
	68,059	-	27,575		347,062		755,089		-		-	-	2,718,412	
	68,059		27,575		347,062		755,089		115,215				4,456,471	
\$	68,059	\$	27,575	\$	347,062	\$	755,089	\$	310,912	\$	-	\$	6,917,266	

	Special Revenue									
	Major Streets	Local Streets	Police Forfeiture	Community Development Block Grant	Building Department	1996 Voted Levy	DARE/ GREAT			
Revenues										
Property taxes	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,538,316	\$ -			
Licenses and permits	-	-	-	-	1,496,667	-	-			
Federal sources	-	-	509,321	1,016,323	-	-	-			
State sources	2,931,228	1,030,918	29,234	-	-	-	-			
Interest and rent	35,285	8,013	58,348	-	6,557	329,347	2,037			
DMA/911 revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Other		3,188					28,592			
Total revenues	2,966,513	1,042,119	596,903	1,016,323	1,503,224	1,867,663	30,629			
Expenditures Current:										
Public works and capital projects	1,111,409	1,936,903	-	-	1,503,224	-	-			
Community development	-	-	-	1,016,323	-	-	-			
Construction and development Capital outlay and other	242,971 8,141	483,038 2,998	- 2,142,212	-	-	13,130	- 24,509			
Debt service	32,056	85,071	2,142,212	-	-	1,750,339	24,307			
Total expenditures	1,394,577	2,508,010	2,142,212	1,016,323	1,503,224	1,763,469	24,509			
rotal experiences	1,371,377	2,300,010	2,112,212	1,010,323	1,505,221	1,703,107	21,507			
Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	1,571,936	(1,465,891)	(1,545,309)	-	-	104,194	6,120			
Other Financing Sources (Uses)										
Transfers in	-	2,305,061	-	-	-	-	-			
Transfers out	(2,629,686)	(160,160)	(945)							
Total other financing sources (uses)	(2,629,686)	2,144,901	(945)			<u>-</u>				
Revenue and Other Financing Sources										
Over (Under) Expenditures and Other										
Financing Uses	(1,057,750)	679,010	(1,546,254)	-	-	104,194	6,120			
Non-exchange Transactions	489,039									
Change in Fund Balance	(568,711)	679,010	(1,546,254)	-	-	104,194	6,120			
Fund Balances - Beginning of year	1,053,578	30,621	1,872,383			1,498,972				
Fund Balances - End of year	\$ 484,867	\$ 709,631	\$ 326,129	<u> - </u>	<u> </u>	\$ 1,603,166	\$ 6,120			

Other Supplemental Information Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Nonmajor Governmental Funds Year Ended June 30, 2006

	Fui	nds		Debt Sen	vice Funds	Capital Pro				
Tree Replacement Fund		Local Law Enforcement Block Grant	General Obligation Debt	1996 Michigan Transportation Fund Bond	Taylor Building Authority Debt	DMA/ 911 Debt	2004 LTGO Capital Improvement Bond Fund	2003 TBA Project Fund	Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds	
\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,538,316	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,496,667	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,525,644	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,991,380	
	-	732	5,404	4,532	2,609,748	31,630	-	32,452	3,124,085	
	-	-	-	-	-	816,515	-	-	816,515	
	13,558								45,338	
	13,558	732	5,404	4,532	2,609,748	848,145	-	32,452	12,537,945	
	_	-	-	-	-	-	18,830	-	4,570,366	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,016,323	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	739,139	
	-	31,698	123,899	325,125	2,590,585	- 989,721	145,000	1,848,376 -	4,057,934 6,041,796	
		31,698	123,899	325,125	2,590,585	989,721	163,830	1,848,376	16,425,558	
	13,558	(30,966)	(118,495)	(320,593)	19,163	(141,576)	(163,830)	(1,815,924)	(3,887,613)	
	-	-	-	324,625	-	-	-	-	2,629,686 (2,790,791)	
									(2,770,771)	
				324,625					(161,105)	
	13,558	(30,966)	(118,495)	4,032	19,163	(141,576)	(163,830)	(1,815,924)	(4,048,718)	
									489,039	
	13,558	(30,966)	(118,495)	4,032	19,163	(141,576)	(163,830)	(1,815,924)	(3,559,679)	
	-	30,966	186,554	343,030	8,412	896,665	279,045	1,815,924	8,016,150	
\$	13,558	\$ -	\$ 68,059	\$ 347,062	\$ 27,575	\$ 755,089	\$ 115,215	\$ -	\$ 4,456,471	

Other Supplemental Information Combining Statement of Net Assets Fiduciary Funds June 30, 2006

	Trust Funds														
		Pension and	Othe	er Employee Be	nefi	t Plans			Agency Funds 23rd District Court Total \$ 87,299 \$ 708,915						
		lice and Fire ement System	General Employees'		Та	ıx Receiving				Total					
Assets															
Cash and equivalents Investments:	\$	500	\$	2,105,620	\$	2,106,120	\$	621,616	\$	87,299	\$	708,915			
Corporate bonds		5,316,326		5,288,870		10,605,196		-		-		-			
U.S. government securities		20,315,253		11,031,859		31,347,112		-		-		-			
Common and preferred stock		41,070,446		29,636,658		70,707,104		-		-		-			
Asset-backed securities		2,517,183		_		2,517,183		-		-		-			
Money market		3,468,447		-		3,468,447		-		675,000		675,000			
Mutual funds		23,037,595		-		23,037,595		-		-		-			
Due from General Fund		3,002,608		69,416		3,072,024		-		-		-			
Due from other governmental units		-		-		-		972,915		-		972,915			
Accrued interest		295,392		212,241	_	507,633	_				_	-			
Total assets		99,023,750		48,344,664		147,368,414	\$	1,594,531	\$	762,299	\$	2,356,830			
Liabilities															
Due to other governmental units		_		_		_	\$	1,557,996	\$	638,568	\$	2,196,564			
Cash bonds and deposits		_		_		_		-		123,731		123,731			
Tax collections distributable		-		-	_			36,535			_	36,535			
Total liabilities					_		\$	1,594,531	\$	762,299	\$	2,356,830			
Net Assets - Held in trust for pension and other employee benefits	\$	99,023,750	<u>\$</u>	48,344,664	<u>\$</u>	147,368,414									

^{*} Balances are as of December 31, 2005

Other Supplemental Information Combining Statement of Changes in Net Assets Fiduciary Funds Year Ended June 30, 2006

		lice and Fire Retirement System		General imployees' ension Plan*		Total
Additions						
Investment income: Interest and dividends	\$	3,616,710	\$	1,226,701	\$	4,843,411
Net increase in fair value of investments	Ф	2,777,192	Ψ	2,365,914	Ψ	5,143,106
Less investment expenses		(161,414)		(246,622)	_	(408,036)
Net investment income		6,232,488		3,345,993		9,578,481
Contributions:						
Employer		2,943,025		1,122,958		4,065,983
Employee		718,301		558,664		1,276,965
Total contributions		3,661,326		1,681,622		5,342,948
Total additions - Net of						
investment expenses		9,893,814		5,027,615		14,921,429
Deductions						
General and administrative		29,042		52,821		81,863
Benefit payments		8,292,437		3,636,583		11,929,020
Total deductions		8,321,479		3,689,404		12,010,883
Net Increase in Net Assets		1,572,335		1,338,211		2,910,546
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension and Other Employee Benefits						
Beginning of year, as restated		97,451,415		47,006,453		144,457,868
End of year	\$	99,023,750	\$	48,344,664	<u>\$</u>	147,368,414

^{*} Balances are as of December 31, 2005

City of Taylor, Michigan

Other Supplemental Information Combining Statement of Net Assets Component Unit - Housing Commission Year Ended June 30, 2006

	Co	Housing	D	Taylor Community evelopment orporation*		Total
Assets						
Cash and investments	\$	804,592	\$	1,009,959	\$	1,814,551
Due from other governmental units	Ψ.	-	•	197,771	Ψ	197,771
Accounts receivable		2,038		79,500		81,538
Deferred charges		-		4,769,552		4,769,552
Prepaid expenses and other assets		18,691		642,010		660,701
Restricted assets		-		2,951,760		2,951,760
Capital assets		2,337,813		26,873,389		29,211,202
Total assets		3,163,134		36,523,941		39,687,075
Liabilities						
Accounts payable		-		660,498		660,498
Tenant security deposits		29,613		342,378		371,991
Accrued liabilities and other		10,243		271,988		282,231
Long-term debt	_	-		29,272,205	_	29,272,205
Total liabilities		39,856		30,547,069	_	30,586,925
Net Assets						
Invested in capital assets - Net of related debt		2,337,813		1,034,308		3,372,121
Restricted		-		3,053,557		3,053,557
Unrestricted		785,465		1,889,007		2,674,472
Total net assets	\$	3,123,278	<u>\$</u>	5,976,872	\$	9,100,150

^{*} Balances are as of March 31, 2006 for the Housing Commission and as of June 30, 2006 for the Taylor Community Development Corp., its component unit.

City of Taylor, Michigan

Other Supplemental Information Combining Statement of Changes in Net Assets Component Unit - Housing Commission Year Ended June 30, 2006

	Taylor Community				
	Housing			Development	
		ommission*		Corporation*	 Total
Revenue					
Rental income	\$	277,007	\$	5,737,883	\$ 6,014,890
Other income		3,531,331		4,150,042	7,681,373
Total revenue		3,808,338		9,887,925	13,696,263
Expenditures					
General administration		312,512		2,174,650	2,487,162
Housing assistance payments		2,615,633		-	2,615,633
Utilities		125,398		1,896,064	2,021,462
Operation and maintenance		196,130		3,649,921	3,846,051
Depreciation and amortization		142,011		1,747,912	1,889,923
Other		49,380		1,106,090	 1,155,470
Total expenditures		3,441,064		10,574,637	 14,015,701
Operating Income (Loss)		367,274		(686,712)	(319,438)
Nonoperating Revenue (Expenses)					
Other nonoperating income		8,322		384,079	392,401
Loss on sale of property		-		(18,632)	(18,632)
Interest income		12,456		128,899	141,355
Interest expense				(1,449,391)	 (1,449,391)
Total nonoperating revenue (expenses)		20,778		(955,045)	 (934,267)
Net Income (Loss) - Before capital contribution		388,052		(1,641,757)	(1,253,705)
Capital Contribution				1,617,606	 1,617,606
Change in Net Assets		388,052		(24,151)	363,901
Net Assets - Beginning of year, as restated		2,735,226		6,001,023	 8,736,249
Net Assets - End of year	\$	3,123,278	\$	5,976,872	\$ 9,100,150

^{*} Balances are as of March 31, 2006 for the Housing Commission and as of June 30, 2006 for the Taylor Community Development Corp., its component unit.





27400 Northwestern Highway P.O. Box 307 Southfield, MI 48037-0307 Tel: 248.352.2500 Fax: 248.352.0018 plantemoran.com

December 12, 2006

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Taylor 23555 Goddard Road Taylor, MI 48180

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

We have recently completed our audit of the financial statements for the City of Taylor for the year ended June 30, 2006. As a result of our audit, we offer the following observations and comments for your consideration:

OVERVIEW OF THE CITY'S FINANCIAL CONDITION

General Fund

The General Fund total fund balance at June 30, 2006 decreased to approximately \$5,025,000 of which approximately \$3,059,000 has been reserved. The unreserved portion of fund balance, which totaled approximately \$1,966,000, declined almost 50% from 2005, and represents only 3 percent of 2007 budgeted expenditures. Going forward, the City will continue to face revenue restrictions/reductions for Headlee and Proposal A caps on property taxes and revenue sharing payments received from the State of Michigan. In addition, as discussed in previous years, the City's recurring operating expenditures in the General Fund continue to outpace recurring revenue sources. In the recently completed audit year ended June 30, 2006, this structural difference (excess of recurring expenditures over revenues) was approximately \$2,142,000. In fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 the structural difference was approximately \$3,043,000, and for 2006/2007 the budgeted difference is approximately \$1,600,000. Furthermore, along with the recurring structural financial challenges, health care costs and actuarial required pension contributions continue to significantly outpace the rate of inflation. As a result, there is an urgent need for City management and Council to critically assess the level of community services currently provided including the associated cost of those services. In particular, because labor related expenses including fringes comprise such a significant portion of the overall recurring operating expenses, they must continually be evaluated to assess the City's current and future ability to pay for these costs. Lastly, because the larger recurring revenue sources are generally limited to inflationary increases and certain less controllable expenses such as utilities, pension (for benefits previously awarded by past contracts), healthcare, etc. have recurring annual increases which outpace inflation, increases to other more controllable expenses need to be minimized to keep the City's operations viable.



As the City is well aware, it continues to be critical to maintain a sufficient level of fund balance to enable management to adjust to both expected and unanticipated financial changes, such as you are currently experiencing. An adequate level of fund balance positions the City to address negative financial changes without disrupting the level of services provided to citizens or the City's ability to fund future obligations. We encourage the Mayor and Council to continue to actively monitor the financial position of the City to achieve budgeted results and plan for improving General Fund fund balance levels over an established future timeframe.

Recreational Proprietary Funds

The Golf Courses Fund and Taylor Sportsplex Fund continue to operate at a deficit on an annual fiscal year basis. Even after adjusting for non-cash outlays such as depreciation, these funds do not independently generate sufficient cash flows to fund operations, debt service requirements and future capital improvement needs. During the year ended June 30, 2006 the TIFA's contributed over \$200,000 of capital assets to the Golf Courses and provided approximately \$544,000 of support to fund the debt service requirements of the Taylor Sportsplex. In addition, the Golf Course Fund continues to owe the General Fund \$1,750,000 for a long-term advance. Our understanding is that the City is currently reviewing the 2007 calendar year budget within the Golf Courses fund in addition to other potential funding options, with the intent on developing a plan to repay the interfund loan balance and to help improve the financial outlook of the Golf Courses. In addition, we encourage the City to consider charging a reasonable interest rate to the Golf Course Fund for the loan balance.

FINANCIAL FORECASTS

As mentioned in previous council meetings and in consideration of the City's financial position and the current negative economic business climate, we strongly recommend the City create/update its three-to-five year operating plan and financial forecast that would include alternative scenarios the City could expect to encounter. The following are examples of different situations that will arise:

- Expected changes in employee workforce (contract expirations, renegotiations, attrition, etc.) including the projected costs of any employee labor contract adjustments
- The declining level of state shared revenue received including the vulnerability of the statutory portion of state shared revenue
- The expected levels of targeted capital and infrastructure expenditures including future debt service requirements
- The restricted growth of future property tax revenues
- Ability to provide future services consistent with today's level

In light of your General Fund fund balance level, recent municipal capital investments within the City, including future debt service commitments related to the road and infrastructure reconstruction programs and the construction and operations of new municipal facilities, the development of an operating plan and cash flow forecast is imperative. The City <u>must</u> critically assess all future costs on an ability to pay basis. Strong consideration should be given to identifying the recurring dedicated revenue sources that will support all budgeted cost increases. By preparing plans under different scenarios, the City would be better equipped to respond to expected and unexpected short-term and long-term financial constraints.

REVIEW OF INTERNAL CONTROLS

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the City of Taylor for the year ended June 30, 2006, we considered the City's internal control in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the System's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. The matters noted are only those that came to our attention and had our procedures in internal control related matters been more extensive, other matters may have been noted.

During our review of the bank reconciliation process, it was noted there was a delay of 30 to 90 days from the time the bank statement was received until the time the bank reconciliations were prepared during the first few months of the fiscal year. Upon further review of bank reconciliations during the latter half of the year, it appears that this problem was corrected as the bank reconciliations selected for testing were prepared within 60 days of month end.

STATE SHARED REVENUE

As you are aware, the ongoing budgetary challenges faced by the State of Michigan continue to negatively impact the City through its revenue-sharing payments. State-shared revenue accounted for approximately 15 percent of the City's General Fund revenue for the year ended June 30, 2006. This percentage has declined over the past several years due to the State's economic troubles.

The statutory formula sunsets in 2007, so the remaining statutory revenue sharing dollars will receive considerable attention next year. Next year, the State will also need to begin dealing with counties again as it relates to statutory revenue sharing. In fiscal year 2004/2005, the State eliminated statutory revenue sharing received by counties (which was approximately \$182 million in fiscal year 2003/2004) and, in return, allowed the counties to advance the levy of their operating millage to July from December. The additional monies from the earlier levy were utilized to create a reserve fund by the counties to replace lost statutory revenue sharing. The expectation is that when the reserve funds at individual counties become depleted, the counties will re-enter the State's statutory revenue sharing formula. It is very possible that the return of county statutory revenue sharing could be at the expense of city, village and township statutory revenue sharing.

The following table sets forth a history of your approximate revenue-sharing payments, based upon the State's fiscal year:

State Fiscal Year	Statutory	Constitutional	Total	Decrease from 2000
2000	\$5,389,735	\$4,800,080	\$10,189,815	\$-
2001	\$5,941,001	\$4,274,319	\$10,215,320	\$25,505
2002	\$5,407,278	\$4,317,858	\$9,725,136	(\$464,679)
2003	\$4,758,632	\$4,390,958	\$9,149,590	(\$1,040,225)
2004	\$3,879,957	\$4,343,263	\$8,223,220	(\$1,966,595)
2005	\$3,686,673	\$4,446,914	\$8,133,587	(\$2,056,228)
2006	\$3,520,464	\$4,522,623	\$8,043,087	(\$2,146,728)
2007 est.	\$3,395,333	\$4,647,754	\$8,043,087	(\$2,146,728)

While significant declines in this funding source experienced in recent years appear to have slowed, it is clear that the total amount of money distributed is unlikely to increase without significant changes to the State's revenue structure. As of now, there appears to be no long-term solution to the State's structural deficit in its General Fund, and as long as this condition exists, revenue sharing remains at risk and a return to past funding levels is not likely in the foreseeable future.

Considering the unknown impact of the State's budget crisis, the anticipated sunset of the statutory formula, and the elimination of the single business tax (see "Update on Business Tax Reform") on state shared revenue, we encourage you to develop a contingency plan to deal with possible additional reductions to this revenue item.

UPDATE ON BUSINES TAX REFORM (AND ITS IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT)

On August 9, 2006, the Michigan Legislature approved a voters' legislative "initiative" to repeal the Michigan Single Business Tax ("SBT") for tax years beginning after December 31, 2007, two years earlier than it was originally slated to end. In addition to the repeal, the law requires the Michigan Department of Treasury to prorate the SBT to result in the equivalent of zero tax on business activity occurring after December 31, 2007. Previously, the SBT was scheduled to be repealed for tax years beginning after December 31, 2009.

Currently, there is no plan for replacement of this \$1.9 billion in lost revenue to the State. If no replacement revenue is identified, statutory revenue sharing may become a target to fill the hole in the State's budget. The Legislature has created a "Joint Committee on Economic Growth" charged with submitting to the Legislature by December 1 recommendations on a replacement tax for the Single Business Tax. Also, ending and replacing the State's personal property tax, a significant revenue source to many local governments, has been added to the Committee's agenda.

These matters will receive considerable attention going forward and in 2007.

PROPERTY ASSESSMENT CAP

As you recall, Proposal A limits the growth in taxable value to the lesser of inflation or 5 percent. The inflation factor for this calculation is published annually by the State Tax Commission and is as follows:

2007	3.7%	2002	3.2%
2006	3.3%	2001	3.2%
2005	2.3%	2000	1.9%
2004	2.3%	1999	1.6%
2003	1.5%	1998	2.7%

As indicated above, growth in existing property taxable value is significantly limited due to Proposal A (an average of 2.48 percent annually over the past 10 years). This factor should be strongly considered when the City is involved in any long-term financial planning.

The Headlee Amendment to the Michigan Constitution limits the amount of taxes that can be levied by the City. In general terms, if growth in the City's existing property tax base exceeds inflation for a particular year, the Headlee Amendment requires the City to "roll back" its property tax rate to inflation. Prior to the passage of Proposal A in 1994, during years when the growth on the City's existing property tax base was less than inflation, the Headlee Amendment allowed the City to "roll up" its property tax rate and recover from years when the property tax rate was "rolled back." However, subsequent to the passage of Proposal A, the City is no longer allowed to "roll up" its property tax rate in years when growth on its existing tax base is less than inflation. As a result, despite the City having a general operating charter mill cap of 9.5, currently the Headlee mills are limited to 8.4211 (a reduction of over 11 percent).

POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

As discussed in prior communications, two new accounting pronouncements were recently issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). GASB Nos. 43 and 45 address the accounting and disclosures related to postemployment benefits other than pensions. In short, these pronouncements will require communities to account for and disclose liabilities related to health care promises to retirees, much in the same way that pensions are handled. Starting in fiscal year 2007-2008, the City will be required to measure its retiree health care liability through actuarial valuations that are to be performed biannually. These valuations will compute an "annual required contribution." The annual required contribution is the amount the actuary believes is necessary to fund the benefit over a period of 30 years or less. Once these benefits (which some are doing now), remain on a pay-as-you go plan (which is the path for most local governments) or a combination thereof. The Finance Department has performed initial computations internally of an estimate of what the potential liability will be to the City, which came out to a significant amount. To provide local governments with additional funding

options, legislation has been introduced which would allow bonding as a funding tool. We will keep you updated as developments occur with this legislation.

The GASB pronouncements provide substantial incentive to fund the obligation in accordance with the annual actuarial recommended contribution. In addition to the normal fairness issue of paying for a service as you use it, the GASB has directed that lower rates of return be used for evaluating the annual recommended contribution in situations where the recommended contribution is not being funded.

CABLE FRANCHISE FEES

The State of Michigan has joined a number of other states considering statewide cable T.V. franchising. There is a bill (HB 6456) that would create a state video service authorization system that would replace the current system of local franchising of cable T.V. providers, and also would apply to new providers who would offer services through phone lines. This bill, which is unfavorable to local units of government, has been recently passed by both the State House and Senate and awaits the governor's signature or veto.

TASK FORCE REPORT ISSUED ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE

In 2005, the governor commissioned a task force to further study Michigan's Municipal Finance Model. The Commission has completed their work and has released their report in May 2006. Findings of the report which are not surprising include:

- Revenue for local governments is flat, or declining due to reductions in state revenue sharing and the interaction of the Headlee Amendment and Proposal A. Mature urban centers have been impacted the hardest.
- While revenues have been restricted, many expenditures are increasing beyond the control
 of local government. The expenditures include, but are not limited to health care, pension
 liabilities, and public safety costs.
- The current finance system, based on obsolete revenue foundations, is not resilient or flexible enough to withstand out-migration of taxpayers, whether due to economic downturn or availability of developable land.
- Legacy costs of postemployment benefits to retired workers threaten to overtake the majority of available new revenue for local units.
- Deferring maintenance on critical infrastructure such as roads, sewers, water mains, and buildings, to meet ongoing increases in operating expenditures has left many local governments with crumbling infrastructure and growing future cost liabilities.

The full report can be accessed at: www.migfoa.org.

SPECIAL ROAD PROJECT MONIES

As part of the Governor's 2006/2007 budget package, \$80 million was proposed to assist local government to move forward on certain transportation projects and get greater access to Federal transportation matching funds. The Legislature approved the Governor's proposal as

Public Act 139, 140 and 141 of 2006. Michigan Department of Transportation officials are reviewing applications for the rest of the funding and the remaining recipients will be announced later this year.

GOVERNMENT WINS HEADLEE CHALLENGE

The Court of Appeals upheld a trial court decision in July 2006 (*A&E Parking vs. Wayne County Metro*, <u>COA docket No. 261046</u>) that the Wayne County Airport Authority (the "Airport Authority") has the right to charge user fees to the more than three dozen companies that sued the Airport Authority. The suit against the Airport Authority alleged that the fees charged to hotels, shuttle, rental car companies, and other users of the property that financially benefit from the airport's location should be deemed illegal taxes under the Headlee Amendment. In rendering their opinion in the Airport Authority's favor, the court considered the charges appropriate and were not taxes for the following reasons:

- State law permits fees
- The users such as car rental companies and hotels with shuttles get a benefit from the airport's existence
- The fees are not calculated arbitrarily and the users are not forced to pay the fees.
- The users could choose to take their business elsewhere and avoid the charge.

Despite the victory, it serves as a great reminder to local governments that fees must meet the tests established in the Bolt Court Case to avoid the classification of fees as unvoted taxes in violation of the Headlee Amendment.

MUNICIPAL FINANCE ACT REVISIONS – REMINDER

The Municipal Finance Act was amended several years ago. Communities are now required to submit a filing once a year with the Michigan Department of Treasury. The old ten day "exemption from prior approval" process has been eliminated and is replaced with this qualification process. This filing will serve as a pre-approval for future debt issues. The current filing is due within six months of the City's year end (December 31, 2006) and is good for one year thereafter. The City should consider the need to file a qualifying statement for each of its component units.

COMPONENT UNIT FINANCIAL UPDATE

During the current year, the Tax Increment Finance Authority, Brownfield Authority and Downtown Development Authority, had a combined decrease in net assets of approximately \$11,800,000 on a full accrual basis of accounting, increasing the overall net deficits in each of those Authorities. In addition, although each Authority was in a positive fund balance situation at the end of the year on a modified accrual basis, the Tax Increment Finance Authority's fund balance decreased by approximately \$,600,000 leaving fund balance of just under \$2,000,000 at June 30, 2006. The City should continue to monitor the Authority's fund balance closely when determining the critical nature of a proposed capital improvement project and whether the Authority represents the most favorable option to fund upcoming construction projects.

PUBLIC SAFETY AND GENERAL EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

During our review of the Police and Fire Retirement System, we noted that the overall funded ratio decreased from 92.0 percent to 80.2 percent during the period June 30, 2003 through June 30, 2005. However, during that same time period, the contributions into the System increased by \$1,238,000 (up 200%) to \$2,497,000 during the year ended June 30, 2005. The City contribution increased for the fiscal year ended 2006 to approximately \$2,900,000 (up 16%) and is expected to increase to approximately \$4,000,000 (up 38%) during 2007 according to the actuarial report. In addition, the cost of healthcare for public safety employees has doubled since 2001. City management and Council need to critically assess all employee benefit plans (pension and retiree health care) including public safety plans. This assessment must include an objective evaluation of benefits currently offered, the ability to continue to offer the current benefit package, recent plan investment performance, projected future millage increases (if applicable) to fund benefit costs and an overall analysis of the City's ability to control costs and pay for future benefits.

We also recommend that a summary of pension plan investment activities for public safety and general employees plans be provided to City management and Council on a annual or semi-annual basis. This will allow for a review of investment results and the ability to identify performance improvement opportunities and alternatives to help reduce the overall cost of the plans.

FEDERAL REVENUE

In conjunction with our testing of the federal expenditures during the current year, we offer the following comments specific to the individual grant identified:

Brownfield Cleanup Revolving Loan Fund

- During our review of the program, it was noted that the federal revenue is passed through the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority and awarded as a loan to other organizations. One of the two organizations receiving the funding is the I-94/Beverly LLC. The LLC, which is a component unit of the TCDC, signed an agreement to repay the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority for a loan in the amount of \$600,000. However, the amount passed through to the LLC was actually \$750,000. The Authority should revise the agreement and obtain new signatures from all parties to ensure the amount repaid to the Authority is equal to the amount actually loaned.
- During our review of the reporting requirements, we noted that the quarterly and annual financial status reports for this loan fund were not filed timely as mandated. We recommend the City appoint an individual to be responsible for the compliance reporting to ensure it is submitted when required to avoid any potential loss of current or future grant funding.

INTERNAL CONTROL CONSIDERATIONS

<u>Water Loss</u> – While reviewing water loss in current year, we noted water loss has improved over the past year. It is our understanding that the City will be conducting a water loss study in the upcoming year to help determine the principle causes of the water losses within the City. In addition, it is our understanding that when the permits are given for fire hydrant rentals, the

party who pays for the permit hooks the meter up to the hydrant, not City personnel. This could attribute to water loss within the City if the party renting the hydrant begins using the water without first attaching the meter to the hydrant. We encourage the City to consider implementing procedures where the City attaches the meter versus the renting party. We also encourage continued monitoring of water loss to ensure rates are set appropriately and assist with determining long range capital improvement plans.

<u>Ticket Control – Police Department and District Court</u> - We have previously discussed ticket control procedures with representatives from public safety and the District Court. In addition, it is our understanding that procedures were previously initiated between the Court and Police Department whereby the ticket log books would be regularly reconciled between the two entities. We strongly encourage the Police Department and the Court to actively and timely employ these procedures to provide adequate controls such as the following:

- Supervisory approval of ticket sequence issuance when an officer takes a book of tickets from the store room.
- Reconciling the ticket activity log book maintained at the Police Department to the Court files
- Adequate and timely follow-up procedures to identify and account for all missing tickets

Upon further discussion with Court personnel, while the reconciliation process was initiated, differences were unable to be resolved between the Court and Police Department so the reconciliation process ceased.

Administrative Charges to Major and Local Street Funds – Annually, administrative charges to the Major and Local Street fund cannot exceed 10 percent of total Act 51 revenue. During the 2005/2006 fiscal year, charges to these funds were less than 1 percent of Act 51 revenue. City management should review the current process for charging administrative cost to Major and Local Street Funds to verify the amounts charged are commensurate with the benefit received. Opportunities to increase administrative charges (within the required limits) should be considered where the underlying documentation supports additional charges.

<u>Arbitrage Calculations</u> – While auditing long-term debt, it came to our attention that the City does not have a process to formally evaluate the arbitrage rules. The City needs to make this a focus in calendar years where the collective debt issues are greater than \$5,000,000 in total. Arbitrage represents the excess of interest earned over the interest expensed on the applicable debt(s) which could be required to be repaid if certain conditions are not met as it relates to the spending of the debt proceeds.

<u>Property Tax Receivables</u> - During our audit, we noted that the City's accounting system does not track delinquent personal property taxes by tax year. Efforts should be made to determine the year of the delinquency and, certain receivables, generally those greater than 5 to 7 years old, should be written off. Annually, the accounting records should be reconciled to the Treasurer's office to ensure proprietary of the amounts recorded.

<u>Property Tax Payable</u> – During our review of property taxes, we noted a liability of over \$400,000 owed to the County for EPA millage monies from the June 30, 2005 year, which were

not remitted as of June 30, 2006. We encourage the City to remit the payments to the respective authorities on a more timely basis.

<u>Library Property Tax Levy</u> – We noted the library expenditures over the past three years have been less than the revenues generated from the tax levy. As these amounts are accounted for in the General Fund, any excess revenue over expenditures must be reserved for future library costs. The City may wish to review its long-term plans related to the library, to ensure that the millage rates being levied are appropriate.

Ethical Policy – During our audit, it came to our attention that the City does not have a written ethical policy. Many federal grants are starting to require that a written ethical policy be in place in order to qualify or be in compliance with grant requirements. We recommend the City create and Council approve a policy to avoid potential loss of a future grant revenue due to the lack of an adopted ethical policy.

<u>Investment Policy</u> – The City has adopted an investment policy as required by Public Act 196 of 1997. In addition to the adoption of the investment policy, the Public Act requires that an annual investment report be reported to City Council. During the current year, the investment report for fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 was presented to the Council during November 2006. We encourage management to present the investment report to the Council in a more timely manner in the future to allow for potential changes in investments if warranted

<u>Police and Fire Retirement System</u> – During our review of the Police and Fire Retirement System's employee reserves, we noted that each employee has an individual card with the balance of their contributions as well as the interest credited, however, there is no consolidated total for all employees. We recommend the Retirement System compile this information to ensure the employee reserve in total is appropriately reported. Use of spreadsheet technology tools to track employee information may also add efficiency to the compilation process.

We would like to thank Mayor Priebe, Ms. Trueblood, Mr. Philo, and the entire Budget and Finance and Treasury Department staff again for their cooperation and assistance provided during the audit engagement. We would be happy to answer any questions or concerns you have regarding the annual financial report and the above comments and recommendations at your convenience.

Very truly yours,

PLANTE & MORAN, PLLC

Douglas G. Bohrer

Wendy N. Trumbull